Facsimile OU comments received

Le: TOR & WORKFLAD

Mackenzie Valley Environmental Impact Review Board

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
To: John McConnell, Robin Johnstone, De Beers <sup>TM</sup>	766-7347 -
Bob Turner, NSMA	669-7442 ~
Rachel Crapeau, YDFN, Dettah and N'dilo	873-5969
Steve Ellis, Lutselk'e Dene Council	(867) 370-3010
Cecil Lafferty, Fort Resolution Metis Council	(867) 394-3322
Maurice Boucher, Deninu Ku'e Envir. Working Committee	(867) 394-5122 -
Akaitcho Treaty 8	873-8738 -
Jolene Koyina, Dogrib Treaty 11 Council	766-3441
John Ivey, Dogrib Rae First Nation	(867) 392-6150 🕶
Violet Camsell-Blondin, Dogrib Treaty 11 Council	(867) 392-6150 -
Rae-Edzo Metis Local #66	873-4097
Laura Duncan, Wha Ti First Nation Council	(867) 573-3222
Lana Paulson, Gameti First Nation Band	صر 3411-997 (867)
Nadine Neemeh, Dechi Laot'i First Nation	(867) 713-2030 -
Dene Nation	920-2254 & 873-3395
	•
City Clerk, City of Yellowknife	920-5649 🖛
Eddie Koyina, Hamlet of Rae-Edzo	(867) 392-6139 -
Tom Matus, Charter Community of Wha Ti	(867) 573-3018
Brett Hudson, GNWT	972 0114
Mark Dahl, Environment Canada	873-0114 —
Julie Dahl, DFO	873-8185
John Ramsey, NRCan	669-4940 -
A/Executive Director, MVLWB	(613) 995-5719
Mary Tapsell, INAC	873-6610 <b>—</b> 669-2701 <b>—</b>
many rupoon, trace	009-2701
Kevin O'Reilly, CARC	873-3654

The document accompanying this transmission contain confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose. The information is private, and is legally protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or taking any action in reference to the contents of this telecopied (faxed) information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return the original to us by regular mail.

Alexandra Borowiecka, Ecology North

Bill Carpenter, WWF<sup>TM</sup>

Tony Iacobelli, M.Sc., WWF<sup>TM</sup>

Yellowknife Chamber of Commerce

From Louie Azzolini MVEIRB P.O. Box 938 Yellowknife, NT X1A 2N7 Phone (867) 873-9189 Fax (867) 920-4761

920-2986 -

920-4999 -

920-4640 ---

416-489-3611---

Town of Hay River	(867) 874-3237
Murray Swyripa Diavik <sup>TM</sup> Diamond Mines Ltd.	669-9058
Derek Chubb Ekati <sup>TM</sup> BHP Mines Diamond Mine Inc.	669-9293
NWT Chamber of Mines	920-2145
NWT Chamber of Commerce	873-4174

Date:

Thursday, August 09, 2001

From:

Luciano Azzolini, Environmental Assessment Officer

Pages:

47 including these two cover pages

File:

EA01-004 De Beers Snap Lake Diamond Project

Subject:

COMMENTS RECEIVED ON DRAFT TERMS OF REFERENCE AND

DRAFT WORK PLAN.

The comments faxed to you will be provided to the Review Board at its next meeting and will be used to guide its next steps. Anyone can still submit comments on the draft documents but there is no guarantee they will make it in the Review Board's next meeting package.

The document accompanying this transmission contain confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose. The information is private, and is legally protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or taking any action in reference to the contents of this telecopied (faxed) information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return the original to us by regular mail.

#### Louie Azzolini

From:

Louie Azzolini

Sent:

Friday, August 10, 2001 4:41 PM

To:

EAO3; Brett Hudson (E-mail); Bridgette Larocque; Buddy Williams (E-mail); CARC Kevin (Email); Chamber of mines ED (E-mail); CPAWS (E-mail); Dechi Laot'i First Nation (E-mail); Doug Soloway (E-mail); EAO2; Ecology North (E-mail); Health Canada 2 (E-mail); John Donihee (E-mail); John Ramsey (E-mail); Julie Dahl (E-mail); Lana Paulson (E-mail); LKDFN ... Wildlife Lands Environment Ctte (E-mail); Lutsel K'e Dene First Nation (E-mail); Mark Dahl (Email); Mark Lange DFO (E-mail); Mary Tampsell (E-mail); MVLWB Permit (E-mail) (E-mail); NSMA Bob Turner (E-mail); Nunavut Impact Review Board (E-mail); Paula Pacholek [Yel] (Email); Robin Johnstone (E-mail); Roland Semjanovs; Roland Semjanovs (E-mail); Sierra Legal Defence Fund (E-mail 2); Stephen Harbicht (E-mail); Tamara Hamilton (E-mail); Tim Byers (E-mail); Vern Christensen; Wha Ti First Nation (E-mail); William (Bill) Carpenter (E-mail); WWF - Peter J. Ewins (E-mail); WWF Tony Y. (E-mail); YK Chamber of Commerce (E-mail)

Cc:

Subject:

'lucianoa@theedge.ca' - De Beers Environmental Assessment - Comments on the Draft Terms of Reference and

Draft Work Plan













July 27 ordinators meeting.c comments.doc

CARC TORWP

De Beers ToRWP comments.doc

DEO TORWP comments.doc

**FC ToRWP** comments.doc

**GNWT ToRWP** comments.doc

**INAC TORWP** comments.doc





NRCan ToRWP comments.wpd

WWF Comments on TOR August 2 2...

YDFN ToRWP comments.WPD

Attached are digital copies of comments provided on the Draft Terms of Reference and Draft Work Plan for the De Beers Environmental Assessment. Also attached is another copy of the Draft meeting notes from the working session held on July 27, 2001, in the Mackenzie Valley Land and Water Board meeting room. Fax comments from the Lutsel K'e Dene First Nation were also submitted. Thanks to everyone for your cooperation and patience.

# Sincerely,

Luciano Azzolini, Environmental Assessment Officer. Mackenzie Valley Environmental Impact Review Board, Box 938, Yellowknife, NT. X1A 2N7 Phone (867) 873-9189; Fax 920-4761; mveirb.nt.ca



# Facsimile

# Mackenzie Valley Environmental Impact Review Board

John McConnell, Robin Johnstone, De Beers™	766-7347
Bob Turner, NSMA	669-7442 <i>-</i> -
Rachel Crapeau, YDFN, Dettah and N'dilo	873-5969 -
Steve Ellis, Lutselk'e Dene Council	(867) 370-3010
Cecil Lafferty, Fort Resolution Metis Council	(867) 394-3322 -
Maurice Boucher, Deninu Ku'e Envir. Working Committee	(867) 394-5122 -
Akaitcho Treaty 8	873-8738 -
Jolene Koyina, Dogrib Treaty 11 Council	766-3441 -
John Ivey, Dogrib Rae First Nation	(867) 392-6150 -
Violet Camsell-Blondin, Dogrib Treaty 11 Council	(867) 392-6150 -
Rae-Edzo Metis Local #66	873-4097
Laura Duncan, Wha Ti First Nation Council	(867) 573-3222 -
Lana Paulson, Gameti First Nation Band	(867) 997-3411 -
Nadine Neemeh, Dechi Laot'i First Nation	(867) 713-2030
Dene Nation	920-2254 & 873-3395 -
	•
City Clerk, City of Yellowknife	920-5649 .—
Eddie Koyina, Hamlet of Rae-Edzo	(867) 392-6139
Tom Matus, Charter Community of Wha Ti	(867) 573-3018
Brett Hudson, GNWT	873-0114
Mark Dahl, Environment Canada	873-8185 —
Julie Dahl, DFO	669-4940
John Ramsey, NRCan	(613) 995-5719 <del>-</del>
A/Executive Director, MVLWB	873-6610
Mary Tapsell, INAC	669-2701
Kevin O'Reilly, CARC	873-3654
Alexandra Borowiecka, Ecology North	920-2986 -
Bill Carpenter, WWF <sup>TM</sup>	920-4999 -
Tony Jacobelli, M.Sc., WWF <sup>TM</sup>	416-489-3611 -
Yellowknife Chamber of Commerce	920-4640 —

The document accompanying this transmission contain confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose. The information is private, and is legally protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or taking any action in reference to the contents of this telecopied (faxed) information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return the original to us by regular mail.

From Louie Azzolini MVEIRB P.O. Box 938 Yellowknife, NT X1A 2N7 Phone (867) 873-9189 Fax (867) 920-4761

Town of Hay River	(867) 874-3237
Murray Swyripa Diavik <sup>TM</sup> Diamond Mines Ltd.	669-9058
Derek Chubb Ekati <sup>TM</sup> BHP Mines Diamond Mine Inc.	669-9293
NWT Chamber of Mines	920-2145
NWT Chamber of Commerce	873-4174

Date: Friday, August 10, 2001

From: Luciano Azzolini, Environmental Assessment Officer

Pages: 9 including these two cover pages

File: EA01-004 De Beers Snap Lake Diamond Project

Subject: COMMENTS RECEIVED ON DRAFT TERMS OF REFERENCE AND

DRAFT WORK PLAN.

The Canadian Arctic Resources Committee (CARC) was the first to submit comments on the Draft Terms of Reference and the Draft Work Plan for the De Beers Environmental Assessment.

I overlooked sending out CARC's comments in the 47-page fax that went out to you either today or yesterday, and my apologies to Kevin O'Reilly of CARC for the oversight.

The document accompanying this transmission contain confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose. The information is private, and is legally protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or taking any action in reference to the contents of this telecopied (faxed) information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return the original to us by regular mail.

# CANADIAN RESOURCES COMMITTEE ARCTIC

NORTHERN OFFICE #3-4807 49TH STREET (%C7) 873-4715 PHONE YELLOWKNIFE, NT

(867) 873-3654 FAX

X1A 3T5

e mail: xcarc@ssimicro.com



	TAX COVER SHEET
TO:	Gordonlagnie MVEIRB. 920-4761
	Cycie Accolini
FROM: K	Kevin O'Reilly, Director of Research, CARC
	TI Za One (
DATE:	July 30, 2001
PAGES:	7
	(including cover)
MESSAGE	
•	Rei De Beers Sup Lake EA
	The same of the court of 1)

JUL 3 n 2001



July 30, 2001

Gordon Lennie Chairperson Mackenzie Valley Environmental Impact Review Board Box 938 Yellowknife NT X1A 2N7

Re: De Beers Snap Lake Diamond Project Environmental Assessment

Dear Mr. Lennie

The Canadian Arctic Resources Committee (CARC) is requesting a ruling on three matters from your board with regard to the De Beers Snap Lake Diamond Project Environmental Assessment.

CARC has a 30-history of involvement in research, analysis and policy development in the North. We have had experience with environmental assessment and regulation of most of the major resource development projects across northern Canada dating back to the proposal for a Mackenzie Valley pipeline proposal in the 1970s. Most recently, CARC has participated in:

- the BHP Diamonds EARP Panel review;
- the NWT Water Board licencing of the Ekati mine;
- the negotiation of the BHP Environmental Agreement;
- the Diavik Comprehensive Study Report process including an application for judicial review;
- the NWT Water Board hearings on the Diavik Project; and
- the Mackenzie Valley Land and Water Board hearing on BHP's water licence amendment to add the Fox pipe to their Ekati operations.

CARC provided independent technical expertise to many of the above proceedings and added significantly to the rigour of these reviews. We also provided assistance to communities in their reviews of these projects through networking and information sharing, and by making technical experts that we retained available to communities. CARC has also conducted and published independent research on mineral policy and other resource development in the North.

7 Hinton Ave. N., Suite 200 Ottawa, ON K1Y 4P1 (618) 759-4284 (618) 722-3818 Fax info@carc.org www.carc.org



#8-4807 49th Street Yollowknife, Northwest Territories X1A 3T5 (403) 878-4715 Fax (403) 873-3654 xearc@ssimiero.com Firstly, we are seeking an extension to the August 3, 2001 deadline for submissions on the draft terms of reference and work plan. This document was not received at our office until July 6, 2001 by facsimile. Our office in Yellowknife is staffed by one full-time Research Director and a part-time assistant. We do not receive any funding to participate in this environmental assessment from the charitable foundations and donors that support our work. With all the other activities and duties of our Yellowknife office, it is difficult to properly deal with the environmental assessment of this project. We heard that several other participants have not had enough time to adequately review the draft at the meeting held by your staff on July 27, 2001. Given that changes have been made once to the work plan and further changes are now under way by your staff, it is difficult to know precisely what participants are now commenting on. We hereby request a 30-day extension to the August 3, 2001 deadline. In the event that you will not accept this request for an extension, our preliminary comments on the June 21, 2001 draft are attached.

Secondly, we have raised the issue of intervenor or participant funding with your staff and DIAND staff but have not had a positive response. DIAND staff at the July 29, 2001 responded to a direct request for funding by indicating that such funding was available to Aboriginal organizations under the Interim Resources Management Assistance program but there was no legal requirement to provide any funding to others and that there was none available. We are requesting that your Board make a formal ruling on the provision of intervenor funding to non-Aboriginal organizations for this environmental assessment.

While we fully understand that there is no legal requirement for intervenor funding, it is our view that a project of this magnitude would likely have been assessed through a Panel Review under the EARP Guidelines Order and possibly under the Canadian Environmental Assessment Act. We note that under amendments to the Canadian Environmental Assessment Act before the House of Commons, there will be a legal requirement to provide participant funding during Comprehensive Studies. Given our organizational capacity, the on-going environmental assessment of the Tahera project, the potential for a Mackenzie Valley Pipeline and other developments, CARC cannot devote the necessary time and resources to fully participate in this environmental assessment.

Thirdly, CARC has participated in good faith in the two meetings hosted by your staff on this environmental assessment on July 20 and 27, 2001. It is our understanding that your staff are not permitted to attend or participate in any discussion of the draft terms of reference for this environmental assessment. Given the magnitude of this project and its potential for significant adverse environmental effects, we do not believe that it is appropriate that public participation in scoping this environmental assessment should be based solely on written submissions. We are requesting that your Board make a formal ruling on the necessity of conducting formal scoping meetings or hearings for this environmental assessment.

This will allow your board to directly hear the views of First Nations and the public concerning the scope of this project and the scope of the assessment. We do not believe that this need be a lengthy or time-consuming process but that it would be a wise investment of time to ensure that the environmental assessment gets off on the right foot.

Finally, your staff have verbally requested that we consider the application of the draft "Rules of Procedure for Environmental Assessment and environmental Impact Review Proceedings" dated March 21, 2001 for this environmental assessment. While we favour clarity and certainty, there are some fundamental issues with the draft such that we cannot support their use.

The draft rules would appear to create at least four classes of participants; directly affected parties, parties, intervenors and the public, with varying degrees of access to proceedings. This represents a significant departure from the practice in most environmental assessments or regulatory processes in the NWT. We do not believe that is necessary or desirable to create these distinctions amongst participants. In addition, several key steps outlined in the procedures for environmental assessment have already passed making it difficult to see how the entire package can now be applied. We will submit our full comments when formal consultation on a draft is undertaken by your Board.

We look forward to your response to these requests.

Sincerely,

Kevin O'Reilly Research Director

cc. Hon. Robert Nault, Minister of Indian Affairs and Northern Development
Hon. Ethel Blondin-Andrew, MP Western Arctic
Hon. Joe Handley, Minister of Resources, Wildlife and Economic Development
Chief Archie Catholique, Lustel K'e First Nation
Chiefs Rick Edjericon and Peter Liske, Yellowknives Dene First Nation
Clem Paul, President, North Slave Metis Alliance

Robin Johnstone, Senior Environmental Manager, De Beers

# COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT TERMS OF REFERENCE AND WORK PLAN FOR THE ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT OF THE DE BEERS SNAP LAKE DIAMOND PROJECT

# 2. Development Description

pg. 2—This duplicates the "scope of the development" found on page 20. The development description should attempt to briefly describe the project in functional terms, that is, an underground diamond mining operation that relies on a winter road and airstrip for resupply.

# 3. Roles and Responsibilities

pg. 3, last sentence—It should be added here that the reports from the Board's expert advisors will be placed immediately on the public registry.

#### 4. EA Process

The timeline and milestones table here is very confusing. Several of the points have already passed or been superceded, while several steps are likely to occur concurrently. We would suggest limiting the milestones to the major steps with an indication of the amount of time each stage will take. We do not think it is appropriate to list the submission date of the EA Report by De Beers and any subsequent dates as they are largely at the discretion of the developer. It would be much better if each step was identified as "submission date + 30 days" or some similar format.

We were informed by your staff at the July 27, 2001 meeting that the Board would like to have this environmental assessment completed within 18 months. Based on our esperience with other diamond mining project, this is a very ambitious timeline. In previous projects, developers have changed their project in the middle of the environmental assessment, submitted materials that required additional information or clarification, expert reviews have taken significant time and community schedules did not always accommodate consultations. We believe it is necessary to conduct a thorough and fair review rather than one that at its outset, is designed to meet some artificial deadline.

At the same meeting, we heard from representatives of the developer that they need to have all their approvals in place for the use of the 2002-2003 winter road. They also stated that an August 1, 2002 completion of the environmental assessment report would allow them to meet that schedule. It is doubtful that this is possible given the time that the DIAND Minister will require to respond to the report, any subsequent water licence hearings and issuance, the potential for environmental agreements or legally binding developer commitments, negotiation of impact and benefit agreements and other approvals. We do not believe that the Diavik process should be repeated where a developer placed incredible pressures on regulators and adopted recommendations were ignored.

We do not see the need to have same table appear on page 16 and 17.

... 2

pg. 14, second sentence—Typographical error, "After the Review board's consideration and approval of the final ToR, they are sent to De Beers and others as required."

### 5. Draft Terms of Reference

pg. 19, 5.2.1 Public Consultation—There is nothing in this section that requires De Beers to prepare a public consultation plan for the Board's and participants' information. We believe that this would help the developer focus its efforts and give participants a formal opportunity to indicate how they would like to be consulted.

pg. 20, 5.2.2 Traditional Knowledge—the Board may wish to consider whether they will accord Traditional Knowledge "full and equal consideration" to that of western science as was the case with the BHP EARP Panel review.

pg. 20, Scope of the Development—We believe that there should be a requirement for the developer to disclose any partners in this project, their current permits and licences for exploration activities and their corporate track record. The guidelines issued by the BHP EARP Panel are quoted below and should be adopted for this environmental assessment.

- s. 5.3 Relevant details on ownership of rights and interests in the Project, operational arrangements and corporate and management structures should be provided. The Proponent should describe its relevant experience over the last 10 years in mining operations in Canada and in other countries with similar regulatory and social policy regimes in regard to the following:
- (a) record of compliance with government policies and regulations pertaining to environmental protection and socio-economic issues, including details of any corrective measures or penalties imposed by government as a result of significant non-compliance;
- (b) mine safety, major accidents, spills and emergencies, including details of events and responses;
- (c) record in honouring commitments on environmental and socioeconomic matters in the event of planned or premature mine closings or change of ownership;
- (d) relations with Aboriginal peoples;
- (e) operations in arctic and subarctic regions; and
- (f) record in incorporating environmental and socio-economic considerations into project construction, operation, closure and reclamation, including programs or techniques for avoiding or reducing negative effects and/or enhancing positive effects.

... 3

pg. 20, 5.3.2 Accessory Development—De Beers' use of the current winter road, their spur off the winter road, and their proposed all-weather road to the esker to the south of the project should all be included. De beers should also be requested to generally describe their on-going exploration efforts in this claims block and any adjoining ones that they may hold an interest in. De Beers should also be requested to explain the likelihood of their project expanding and any areas of medium to high development potential within their claims block. This was requested of BHP and was helpful in examining the cumulative effects from the project.

pg. 21, 5.4.3 Closure and Reclamation—De Beers should be requested to explain what their closure and reclamation approach will be and to what standards they will reclaim (i.e. stable land forms, revegetation, return to previous ecological productivity?). Any residual monitoring or care and maintenance should be discussed including waste rock seepage treatment.

Pg. 23, 5.5.1 Alternatives to Carrying out the Development—De Beers should be required to present and discuss any alternatives that were deemed not economically feasible. The economic analysis to determine feasibility should also be summarized and made available to the public.

pg. 25-26, 5.6.4 Water Quality and Quantity—De Beers should asked to describe in detail the mineralology of their deposit and waste rock and its potential for acid generation. Any tailings pond discharges and treatment processes should be fully described and discussed.

pg. 28, 5.9 Cumulative Impact—The projects to be included would only consist of those in the regulatory process on the day of issuance of the terms of reference. CARC believes that this should be expanded to projects that are forseeable or likely to proceed and those that are reasonably forseeable. This standard has been proposed by the Alberta Environment, the Alberta Energy Utilities Board and the Alberta Natural Resources Conservation Board (see Draft Informational Letter 99-X).

Finally, the board should consider whether it would helpful to issue a Government Information Request at the same time the Terms of Reference are released. Knowledge of the ability of government to monitor, inspect and enforce follow-up programs would be helpful in designing such programs. The BHP EARP Panel guidelines might be helpful in drafting an appropriate information request.

Prepared by Kevin O'Reilly, Research Director, Canadian Arctic Resources Committee July 30, 2001