

Sherry Sian

From: Sherry Sian
Sent: Wednesday, July 02, 2003 10:33 AM
To: 'Laurie Stephenson'
Subject: RE: Comments received digitally June 23-30, 2003 (EA-03-002)

Ref 89, 100

Laurie,

Your concerns are noted. They will be considered relative to all comments received. I will be revising the draft Terms of Reference and Workplan shortly.

Some of the items noted are not available electronically. I will fax out copies of the items you need. Most of this correspondence deals with clarifying the process and elements of your submission (i.e., the scope of development and the schedule). I will also be sending out the final comments that were submitted for your review.

The comment period is over. Although there is no requirement to respond to the comments submitted, you are welcome to and encouraged to continue your consultation with others on the distribution list (Note: This should be documented for your submission.). You are also welcome to continue to add items to the Public Registry that you feel are relevant to the environmental assessment.

Sincerely,
Sherry

Sherry Sian, M.E.Des.
Environmental Assessment Officer
MVEIRB
Box 938, 5102 - 50th Avenue
Yellowknife, NT X1A 2N7
Phone: (867) 766-7063
Fax: (867) 766-7074
e-mail: ssian@mveirb.nt.ca

-----Original Message-----

From: Laurie Stephenson [mailto:stephmat@axion.net]
Sent: Wednesday, July 02, 2003 9:59 AM
To: Sherry Sian
Subject: RE: Comments received digitally June 23-30, 2003 (EA-03-002)

Two Comments with respects to Excel Public Registry index:

1. because of the inclusion of the North American/ Wool Bay project and New Shoshoni it is getting big! It is why I am strongly leaning towards insisting that the CE be scaled back to Consolidated GoldWin project alone.

2. Items 62 to 93 I should probably have copies of. Now either I should get some one in to get them or if they can be sent electronically it would appreciated. Some of them I recognise as my stuff (93,73,71)and some as house cleaning comments etal (67,71,81,84,85)but the others may or may not be relevant.

With respects to the comments by the NSMA in their letter. Do I need to respond to them? Similarly for the NWT Resource Wildlife and Economic Development?

In a word both of their comments are way out side the scope of this "exploration" project!

It further delineates the problem with using the word "development" to identify a project chat is clearly not so.

Thank you,

Laurie Stephenson
Consultant
Consolidated GoldWin Ventures Ltd.