

## Kimberley Cliffe-Phillips

---

**From:** Andrew Gamble [agamble@theedge.ca]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 29, 2004 1:51 PM  
**To:** Kimberley Cliffe-Phillips; Jivko Jivkov; Albert Lafferty, Deh Cho Bridge Corp.  
**Subject:** Re: Peer Review Report and Additional IRs from INAC

Sorry Kim. I was not aware you were trying.

### Peer Review

We had anticipated receiving a draft report in early August. We have only received a draft from the GNWT a couple of weeks ago. We are preparing for a meeting of our respective technical advisors to discuss outstanding items and finalize design approvals. Until we have had an opportunity to do so, we are regarding the report as preliminary and 'proprietary' and will not be releasing it.

I note that we do not anticipate that this will result in any significant changes to the design on which our application is based.

I hope this does not create problems for us or the Board.

### Technical reports

I had understood that the pre-hearing conference was an opportunity to provide additional information and to discuss and, if possible, resolve outstanding questions and issues and to prepare for the hearing itself. I also understood that the DCBC was not expected to respond to the Technical reports in advance of the pre-hearing. We will certainly be prepared to discuss all issues and recommendations of the DIAND and EC reports, including the additional questions at the pre-hearing.

Please let us know if there is something else we should be doing or providing in preparation for the pre-hearing on Monday.

Andrew

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Kimberley Cliffe-Phillips  
**To:** Andrew Gamble ; Jivko Jivkov ; Albert Lafferty, Deh Cho Bridge Corp.  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 29, 2004 10:17 AM  
**Subject:** Peer Review Report and Additional IRs from INAC

Hello,  
I have been unable to reach Andrew Gamble by telephone.  
The parties have been inquiring as to when the Peer Review Report will be distributed, as the IR response indicated it would be available in September.

INAC had included 2 questions in their Technical Report, addressed to the DCBC. They were wondering if a response is being prepared, or if they will need to address them at the public hearing.

Please advise on these matters.

Thanks.

Kim

**Environmental Assessment Officer**  
Mackenzie Valley Environmental Impact Review Board

9/29/2004

106