

Imperial Geotech Deficiency Response and Conformity

Alan Ehrlich

From: Alan Ehrlich
Sent: Wednesday, August 25, 2004 1:44 PM
To: 'joeacorn@theedge.ca'
Subject: RE: Imperial Geotech Deficiency Response and Conformity

Hi Joe,

Conformity was done in-house. No review from parties was requested. In terms of analysis for IRs, we'll have ours out in about a week, and Imperial has 10 working days to respond. The supplementary IRs are unlikely to go out right at the beginning of that (10 day) step, giving you likely an extra 5 days. So, by my math, you've got about a month to look over the deficiency response. Besides a lengthy species list, the deficiency response is only 22 pages long. Is a month not enough?

There is no additional nine day delay in the schedule. The Board has decided Imperial is in conformity, and now we begin Review Board IRs to the developer. The only schedule implication of this step is that we initially expected it to take place a couple of weeks earlier, but Imperial was late with the response (giving you more time to ponder the rest of the material!).

Cheers,

Alan Ehrlich
Senior Environmental Assessment Officer
Mackenzie Valley Environmental Impact Review Board
Tel: (867) 766-7056
Fax: (867) 766-7074

From: joeacorn@theedge.ca [mailto:joeacorn@theedge.ca]
Sent: Wednesday, August 25, 2004 12:37 PM
To: Alan Ehrlich; crosscurrent@northwestel.net; anne.wilson@ec.gc.ca; Bernie Swanson (saoftsim@cancom.nt); hannab@dfo-mpo.gc.ca; bernal@ssimicro.com; floyd_bertrand@nt.sympatico.ca; lkfndir@cancom.net; celinea@nahannibutte.com; quevillond@inac.gc.ca; dougb@ssimicro.com; hornbye@inac.gc.ca; yaxleye@inac.gc.ca; gavin_more@gov.nt.ca; inbusnow@hotmail.com; greg@mvlwb.com; susanc@ssimicro.com; jim.r.hawkins@exxonmobil.com; john_holman@dehchofirstnations.com; deneres@cancom.net; kellydcfn@yahoo.ca; sealel@inac.gc.ca; roshan@mandellpinder.com; maggiel@ssimicro.com; vofsmayr@cancom.net; mike.fournier@ec.gc.ca; howard.paul@colteng.com; predvers@ssimicro.com; hayess@inac.gc.ca; wade.romanko@ec.gc.ca
Subject: Re: Imperial Geotech Deficiency Response and Conformity

Hi Alan,

9 days to get this out to us is not an acceptable or efficient process. More time is better for reviewing documents. We have a lot of other things going on, not just this. The earlier we get material, the better we can plan our workloads. This 9 day delay is time we have lost for doing our analysis and preparing our IRs.

What does this 9 day delay now mean to the EA schedule? Each step in the schedule should not commence until all parties have been provided copies of the material. In keeping with the work plan schedule, I am assuming that the Review Board's IRs will be issued 8 days from today. If I am wrong, please notify me as I need to know when the community IRs will be due.

Thanks,

Joe Acorn

8/25/2004

60

----- Original Message -----

From: Alan Ehrlich

To: crosscurrent@northwestel.net ; anne.wilson@ec.gc.ca ; Bernie Swanson (saoftsim@cancom.nt) ; hannab@dfo-mpo.gc.ca ; bernal@ssimicro.com ; floyd_bertrand@nt.sympatico.ca ; lkfndir@cancom.net ; celinea@nahannibutte.com ; quevillond@inac.gc.ca ; dougb@ssimicro.com ; hornbye@inac.gc.ca ; yaxleye@inac.gc.ca ; gavin_more@gov.nt.ca ; inbusnow@hotmail.com ; greg@mylwb.com ; susanc@ssimicro.com ; jim.r.hawkins@exxonmobil.com ; joeacorn@theedge.ca ; john_holman@dehchofirstnations.com ; deneres@cancom.net ; kellydcfn@yahoo.ca ; sealel@inac.gc.ca ; roshan@mandellpinder.com ; maggiel@ssimicro.com ; vofsmayr@cancom.net ; mike.fournier@ec.gc.ca ; howard.paul@colteng.com ; predvers@ssimicro.com ; hayess@inac.gc.ca ; wade.romanko@ec.gc.ca

Sent: Wednesday, August 25, 2004 11:43 AM

Subject: Imperial Geotech Deficiency Response and Conformity

Hello All,

Please find attached Imperial's response to the Review Board's deficiency statement. The Board now finds Imperial to be in conformity with the Terms of Reference, and is preparing its information requests.

<<Geotech Conformity Response Aug16 04.pdf>>

Following this upcoming round of information requests (Round 1), parties are invited to propose information requests to the Board for Round 2. Parties are encouraged to begin thinking about any outstanding questions related to the impacts of the Deh Cho geotechnical program. As an alternative, parties are also free to send their questions directly to Imperial, and to use the Board's information request process only when necessary.

More information about Information Requests is available on page 32 and Appendix F of the *EIA Guidelines* (http://www.mveirb.nt.ca/MVGuides/MVEIRB_EIA_Guide.pdf)

Regards,

Alan Ehrlich

Senior Environmental Assessment Officer

Mackenzie Valley Environmental Impact Review Board

Tel: (867) 766-7056

Fax: (867) 766-7074