

Our File: EA1415-01

January 9, 2017

Notice of Proceeding

Prairie Creek All Season Road Project, Canadian Zinc Corporation

Re: Technical report preparation meeting 10:30 am (MST) Friday Jan. 13, 2017

Review Board staff will be facilitating a technical report preparation meeting for the Prairie Creek All Season Road beginning at <u>10:30 am (MST) on January 13, 2017</u>. The meeting will take place at the Review Board office in Yellowknife and will be hosted by Review Board staff.

CanZinc requested an opportunity to show and narrate a video of the Prairie Creek All Season Road. Following the conclusion of the technical report preparation meeting hosted by staff, CanZinc has been provided with time at <u>1 pm (MST) on January 13, 2017</u>, to present and narrate their video.

The meeting will have two parts. The purpose is as follows:

Part 1. Assist parties in preparation of technical reports and describe the purpose, content and format of the technical report

Teleconference will be available at:Teleconference # 1-877-733-5390Code 4631621989

Part 2. View a video of the Prairie Creek All Season Road route prepared by CanZinc

Videoconference will be available, with further details to be provided at least 24 hours in advance

Please be advised that Review Board staff expect that Part 1 of the meeting may last up to 2 hours, and Part 2 is expected to last up to 1 hour. A complete agenda will follow.

An information package for Part 1 of the meeting is attached herein. The information package outlines the Review Board's Rules of Procedure for technical report writing, offering helpful tips and lessons learned. Staff request that parties' contributions to the meeting will focus on elements of technical



report writing under the Review Board's Rules of Procedure, rather than focusing on elements of the project. **Parties are encouraged to send questions about technical reports to Review Board staff in advance to assist with timely responses during the meeting.**

Review Board staff are available to address questions or concerns about conferencing technology, provided staff are notified well in advance of the scheduled start time.

A Brief Reminder: Only those with <u>Party Status</u> may intervene before the Review Board. Parties who wish to intervene are expected to: 1) submit a technical report 2) prepare a presentation based on the technical report 3) participate in the pre-hearing conference 4) submit the presentation to the Review Board 5) present and participate at the public hearing 6) be available for questioning.

Individuals or organizations without official party status who would like to intervene at the hearing must apply for party status using this form: <u>Request for party status form</u>. Members of the public (those without party status) would still have the opportunity to speak to the Review Board directly but cannot question the developer or other parties during the hearing.

Please contact the lead for the Prairie Creek All Season Road project, A/EA Manager Chuck Hubert at (867) 766-7052 or <u>chubert@reviewboard.ca</u>, with any questions or concerns.

Attachments:

1. Tips for preparing a technical report to the Mackenzie Valley Review Board



Tips for preparing a technical report to the Mackenzie Valley Review Board

EA1415-01

The information in this document is based on material in the *Environmental Impact Assessment Guidelines 2004* and through lessons learned.

1. Technical Report preparation and the hearing phase

- The technical report is part of the hearing phase in an environmental assessment
- All parties to an environmental assessment who want to fully participate in a public hearing and submit closing arguments must submit a technical report to the Review Board
- The technical report is your final submission to the Review Board prior to the public hearing
- The developer prepares and submits a response to parties' technical reports prior to the public hearing
- Parties and the developer must submit a presentation that summarizes their technical report/response to assist in presenting your views during the public hearing
- At the public hearing, parties and the developer will be subject to questioning by other parties and the Review Board on their technical report and presentation.

2. Purpose of a technical report

Your technical report should discuss all of the issues that in your view are potentially significant. These items should be presented in a clear way, requiring no interpretation by the Review Board or other parties.

The components of a technical report include:

- the party's views and conclusions on whether a proposed development is likely to cause significant environmental, social, economic or cultural impacts.
- the party's views on whether it agrees or disagrees with the developer's predictions on significant adverse impacts from the project on the environment or people
- a description of how a specific project component may cause an impact on a part of the environment
- evidence and rationale for the party's conclusions (with explicit reference to materials on the public record)



• the party's views on adverse impacts from the project on the environment and people

3. Format and content of a technical report

Your technical report should include:

- a one-page non-technical executive summary that makes clear statements in plain language which is easy to read and understand
- a brief summary of the mandate of your organization

The content of your argument for each specific issue identified should:

- i. state the developer's conclusions relating to the issue (reference source)
- ii. state <u>your</u> predictions of the impacts of the project to the environment or people
- iii. describe <u>your</u> conclusions on potentially adverse impacts of the project on the environment or people and whether those impacts are significant in your view
- iv. provide clear rationale with enough detail to support your findings
- v. provide <u>your</u> recommendations and any suggested mitigation measures to reduce impacts for each issue so that they are no longer significant
- vi. state whether in your opinion each residual impact would be significant if your recommendation was not implemented

A good example of a technical report submitted to the Review Board for a recent project can be found here: <u>http://www.reviewboard.ca/upload/project_document/EA1314-01_IEMA_Technical_Report.PDF</u>