

EAO2

From: EAO2
Sent: Wednesday, August 15, 2001 12:03 PM
To: 'Pacholek, Paula [Yel]'; 'adamsm@inac.gc.ca'; 'brett_hudson@gov.nt.ca'
Cc: 'dahlj@dfo-mpo.gc.ca'
Subject: RE: Cameron Hills Drilling EA Technical Analysis

Thanks Paula.

DFO is not listed because they did not offer to provide technical advice for either the Liard East or the Cameron Hills EAs. No reason was provided for their lack of involvement.

Julie - is there any particular reason for this?

Joe

-----Original Message-----

From: Pacholek, Paula [Yel] [mailto:Paula.Pacholek@EC.GC.CA]
Sent: Wednesday, August 15, 2001 9:26 AM
To: 'EAO2'; 'adamsm@inac.gc.ca'; 'brett_hudson@gov.nt.ca';
Pacholek, Paula [Yel]
Subject: RE: Cameron Hills Drilling EA Technical Analysis

Joe,

This list is good for this EA, from Environment Canada's perspective. I would hesitate to say that it will be the same list for all EAs to come, but it is a good starting point. I say this because should we have a workload issue and we are not able to comment on one section, I would not want it to appear that we had done the review and had no comments. Also, if we had any specialist information that we may want to add in another area, I would hope that we could do that too. Having said that I would communicate the lack of expertise and/or addition to a certain area, should that issue arise.

I did notice that DFO was not listed anywhere?!

Paula

Paula Pacholek
Northern Environmental Assessment Coordinator
Environment Canada

Phone: 867-669-4743
Fax: 867-873-8185
Email: Paula.Pacholek@ec.gc.ca

-----Original Message-----

From: EAO2 [mailto:EAO2@mveirb.nt.ca]
Sent: Thursday, August 09, 2001 11:08 AM
To: 'adamsm@inac.gc.ca'; 'brett_hudson@gov.nt.ca';
'paula.pacholek@ec.gc.ca'
Subject: Cameron Hills Drilling EA Technical Analysis

Hi,

Just a reminder that the technical analysis reports are due August 22nd.

Also, I did not receive responses to my July 3rd fax asking each of you to identify the areas that your department would be reviewing during the

technical analysis. I would assume that they would be the same as the areas reviewed for the Liard East EA but I have been learning not to make these assumptions. So, please look at the following list. If anyone will be doing something different, please let me know.

EA methodology	GNWT	
Environmental Considerations		GNWT/INAC
Accidents and Malfunctions		GNWT/EC
Alternatives		GNWT
Air Quality and Climate		All
Terrain		INAC (bedrock)
Vegetation	GNWT	
Water	All	
Aquatic Resources	INAC	
Wildlife		
GNWT/EC(migratory birds)		
Cultural and Heritage		GNWT
Socio-economics	GNWT	
Land and Resource Use		GNWT/INAC
Noise	GNWT	
Visual and Aesthetic		GNWT
Cumulative Impacts - Natural Environment		All
Cumulative Impacts - Socio-economic and Cultural		GNWT/INAC
Abandonment and Restoration		GNWT/EC
Follow-up programs		GNWT/INAC

Also, can I assume for future EAs that the above areas will be reviewed by each of your departments or do you want this determination made on the basis of each EA?

Thanks,

Joe Acorn
 Environmental Assessment Officer
 Mackenzie Valley Environmental Impact Review Board
 P.O. Box 938
 5102-50th Avenue
 Yellowknife, NT X1A 2N7
 Tel: (867) 873-9193
 Fax: (867) 920-4761
 E-mail: eao2@mveirb.nt.ca
 Web site: www.mveirb.nt.ca