

Sherry Sian

From: Sherry Sian
Sent: Thursday, August 14, 2003 9:03 AM
To: 'Laurie Stephenson'
Cc: Max Braden; Ralf Hillebrand
Subject: RE: New Shoshoni DAR

Laurie,

This note is to confirm receipt of the DAR for New Shoshoni Ventures. The submission has just been distributed to all the parties to the EA for New Shoshoni Ventures.

As indicated previously, the Review Board made its decision regarding the extension of timelines in consideration of many factors. I will bring your request forward to the Review Board for discussion at its next meeting.

All submissions are being reviewed relative to the Final Terms of Reference. This review has been completed for Consolidated Goldwin Ventures. If I have any questions, I will be in touch by the end of today. Once this step is complete, we can proceed to the participant comment period. I will issue a revised schedule for greater clarity at this point.

For clarity, should correspondence about this DAR be directed to you, Max Braden and Ralf Hillebrand?

Regards,
Sherry

Sherry Sian, M.E.Des.
Environmental Assessment Officer
MVEIRB
Box 938, 5102 - 50th Avenue
Yellowknife, NT X1A 2N7
Phone: (867) 766-7063
Fax: (867) 766-7074
e-mail: ssian@mveirb.nt.ca

-----Original Message-----

From: Laurie Stephenson [mailto:lstephenson@wascomgt.com]
Sent: Wednesday, August 13, 2003 3:15 PM
To: Sherry Sian
Cc: Max Braden; Ralf Hillebrand
Subject: New Shoshoni DAR

Attached is the DAR for New Shoshoni. I believe that NorthAmerican has sent their DAR in and I hope to have Snowfield Complete this week.

These submissions are much more detailed and complete than has ever been produced for drilling exploration programs throughout Canada. And are present long before the August 20th date that the MVEIRB selected to I remind you, "help speed up the process."

The NWT now has the unique position of being the smallest political entity with the longest bureaucratic politic.

"When your up to your neck in croccidiles its hard to remember the initial intent was to drain the swamp"

8/14/2003

98

With this submission we wonder if the board would reconsider shortening the time line. Afterall even though the reports are over 30 pages there is a lot of commonality and do we really need the rest of August all of September and October and November to evaluate?

For what its worth here is the DAR for NSV