## **Kimberley Cliffe-Phillips**

From: Stephen Mathyk [stephen@mvlwb.com]

Sent: Tuesday, February 10, 2004 4:38 PM

To: joeacorn@theedge.ca; 'Melody McLeod'; 'Shirley Maaskant, Paramount Resources Ltd.'; 'John

Korec'

Cc: 'Gillian Calder'; 'Albert Lafferty'; 'Brenda Backen'; 'Bob Wooley'; 'Peter Lennie-Misgeld'; Kimberley

Cliffe-Phillips

Subject: RE: Information Requests from the Fort Providence Metis Council

Joe, you have received our final response to your original query. I have passed this e-mail on to Bob Wooley. Please address any further concerns regarding this matter to him.

Regards,

Stephen Mathyk Regulatory Officer MVLWB (867) 669-0506

----Original Message----

From: joeacorn@theedge.ca [mailto:joeacorn@theedge.ca]

Sent: Tuesday, February 10, 2004 4:13 PM

**To:** Melody McLeod; Stephen Mathyk; 'Shirley Maaskant, Paramount Resources Ltd.'; 'John Korec' **Cc:** 'Gillian Calder'; 'Albert Lafferty'; 'Brenda Backen'; 'Bob Wooley'; 'Peter Lennie-Misgeld'; Kimberley

Cliffe-Phillips

Subject: Re: Information Requests from the Fort Providence Metis Council

Stephen,

As I said, the fact that there is a current EA and that your answer will be placed on the public registry is irrelevant. A community has asked the MVLWB a question. The MVLWB should just answer it.

Your reply makes no logical sense. If you are willing to answer this question outside of the EA, then there is no reason not to answer this question inside the EA. That the community will be placing your answer on the public registry is none of your concern as long as your answer is complete and accurate. If your answer is complete and accurate then what does it matter to the MVLWB if your answer goes on the EA record? Any answer you provide is public anyway. Do you always ask what communities intend to do with your answer before you provide one?

If this question should have properly gone to your Chair, then just send it to your Chair. The MVLWB appears to just be using bureaucratic nonsense to avoid dealing with this. This situation is particularly ridiculous considering that the FPMC and KTFN are just trying to participate in an EA that the MVLWB referred in the first place. How does the MVLWB expect to have this EA satisfactorily address the MVLWB's concerns when the MVLWB is throwing roadblocks in front of communities who are trying to participate in the process?

Joe

---- Original Message -----From: <u>Stephen Mathyk</u>

To: joeacorn@theedge.ca; 'Shirley Maaskant, Paramount Resources Ltd.'; 'John Korec' Cc: 'Gillian Calder'; 'Albert Lafferty'; 'Brenda Backen'; 'Bob Wooley'; 'Peter Lennie-Misgeld'

Sent: Tuesday, February 10, 2004 3:34 PM

Subject: RE: Information Requests from the Fort Providence Metis Council

Joe,

All of the correspondence received by the MVLWB from the FPMC references the Paramount EA currently being undertaken by the Review Board. Both your e-mail correspondence of February 9, 2004 and the attached FPMC letter and Information Requests (IR) make direct reference to your intent to use our response as part of the proceedings of the Paramount EA. The MVLWB is not a participant in this EA and, as such, it would be inappropriate to respond to these Information Requests when, clearly, the responses will be used for that purpose.

The MVLWB does recognize that the FPMC may wish to pursue the answers to similar questions as those raised in the above-noted Information Requests apart from the Paramount EA. Questions of that nature are more appropriately addressed to the Board Chair in letter format, and, as such will receive a response.

The above response should apply to any organization seeking IR responses in relation to an EA in which the MVLWB is not a participant.

Regards,

Stephen Mathyk Regulatory Officer MVLWB (867) 669-0506

----Original Message-----

**From:** joeacorn@theedge.ca [mailto:joeacorn@theedge.ca]

Sent: Tuesday, February 10, 2004 1:18 AM

**To:** 'Shirley Maaskant, Paramount Resources Ltd.'; 'John Korec'; Stephen Mathyk **Cc:** Gillian Calder; Albert Lafferty; Brenda Backen; Bob Wooley; Peter Lennie-Misgeld

Subject: Re: Information Requests from the Fort Providence Metis Council

Hi Stephen,

That fact that the MVLWB is not a party in the EA is not relevant to whether or not the MVLWB will or will not answer this question from the FPMC. The fact that the MVLWB is not a party in the EA is the reason why the Review Board did not issue the question to the MVLWB but that has no bearing on the right of the community to ask a question directly of the MVLWB or on the responsibility of the MVLWB to answer questions from communities.

The fact that there is an EA on-going is just incidental to the situation. The basic fact is a community has asked the MVLWB to answer a question and that question just happens to be in the format of an EA Information Request. Is the MVLWB refusing to answer the question? If yes, I see no valid reason for your position and would like a fuller explanation than you have provided.

Unless you tell me otherwise, I will be assuming that your answer here also applies to the questions from the Ka'a'Gee Tu First Nation to the MVLWB.

Joe

---- Original Message ----From: Stephen Mathyk

To: joeacorn@theedge.ca; 'John Korec'; 'Shirley Maaskant, Paramount Resources Ltd.'

Cc: Peter Lennie-Misgeld; Bob Wooley; Brenda Backen

Sent: Monday, February 09, 2004 1:31 PM

Subject: RE: Information Requests from the Fort Providence Metis Council

Joe, the Mackenzie Valley Land and Water Board is not a participant in the environmental assessment (Cameron Hills) currently being conducted by the Mackenzie Valley Environmental Impact Review Board, and as such will not be responding to your Information Requests.

Regards,

Stephen Mathyk Regulatory Officer MVLWB (867) 669-0506

----Original Message----

**From:** joeacorn@theedge.ca [mailto:joeacorn@theedge.ca]

Sent: Monday, February 09, 2004 10:47 AM

To: John Korec; Stephen Mathyk; Shirley Maaskant, Paramount Resources Ltd.

Subject: Information Requests from the Fort Providence Metis Council

Hi,

I am doing some work for the Fort Providence Mtis Council with regards to Paramount's activities in the Cameron Hills. In early January, the Fort Providence Metis sent Information Requests to the NEB, the MVLWB and Paramount. None of you have responded. To assist the FPMC in preparing for the public hearings next week, please answer the following questions for me:

- 1) Will your organizations be responding to the IRs from the FPMC?
- 2) If yes, when?
- 3) If no, why not?

I have attached the IRs to this e-mail for easy reference.

Thanks,

Joe Acorn P. O. Box 53 Yellowknife, N.T. X1A 2N1 Tel: (867) 766-2455 Fax: (867) 873-9190

E-mail: joeacorn@theedge.ca