

Kimberley Cliffe-Phillips

From: Shirley Maaskant [Shirley.Maaskant@paramountres.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 25, 2004 11:26 AM
To: Kimberley Cliffe-Phillips
Subject: RE: Technical Reports & meeting notes

I thought any participant that would be submitting technical reports needed to state that intent at the pre-hearing conference. Paramount requests a definitive response on the status of technical reports and which groups/agencies will be submitting them.

>>> "Kimberley Cliffe-Phillips" <Kimberley@mveirb.nt.ca> 2/25/2004 11:16:40 AM >>>
Shirley,

The Kakisa meeting notes should be posted by the end of the week.

AS for the Technical Reports, the Tech Report deadline (original one) had already passed by the Pre Hearing Conference. If you will recall, Joe Acorn then requested an extension of the deadline. The Board subsequently met to discuss this matter and agreed to extend the deadline for ALL parties, until March 2, 2004. Therefore, I can not presume that your list of who will and will not be submitting Tech Reports is correct.

I hope this helps.

Kim

-----Original Message-----

From: Shirley Maaskant [mailto:Shirley.Maaskant@paramountres.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 25, 2004 11:02 AM
To: Kimberley Cliffe-Phillips
Subject: Technical Reports & meeting notes

It is my understanding that meeting notes from the Feb 17 Kakisa meeting will be distributed by the MVEIRB. When should we expect to see them?

My notes from the Feb 2 Pre-Hearing Conference and subsequent Hearing transcripts indicate that the following groups/agencies will be submitting the following data. Do you concur?

GNWT- RWED - No Technical report being submitted (response to Wildlife questions required by March 2; transcripts s 96 (3-5); s 96 (7-15))

DFO - Technical report already submitted (nothing further to be presented)

EC - Technical report already submitted (SARA submitted, nothing further to be presented)

NWTMN - No Technical report being submitted (nothing further to be presented)

INAC - No Technical report being submitted (response required from transcripts s 40 (18-19); s 41 (17-19 - will INAC submit this information ASAP at they are not submitting a Technical report?); s 18 (12-13); s 49 (9-10)

DCFN - No Technical report being submitted (nothing further to be

presented)

K'atlodeeche First Nation - No Technical report being submitted
(nothing further to be presented)

West Point First Nation - No Technical report being submitted (nothing
further to be presented)

Fort Providence Metis Local 57 - Technical report being submitted by
Joe Acorn by March 2 which will include response to transcripts s 79
(7-9); s 84 (25); s 87 (9-12);

Ka'a'Gee Tu First Nation - Technical report being submitted by Joe
Acorn by March 2 which will include response to transcripts s 111
(9-10,
17-18); s 114 (17)

Note: If the above is correct, will the Fort Providence Metis Local
57
and Ka'a'Gee Tu First Nation technical reports be combined in one
report?

Kimberley Cliffe-Phillips

From: Shirley Maaskant [Shirley.Maaskant@paramountres.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 26, 2004 5:01 PM
To: Kimberley Cliffe-Phillips
Cc: Jody Irish
Subject: RE: Technical Reports & meeting notes

Kim

Thanks very much for the clarification. I did not realize that the Parties did not have to disclose their intent on submitting a Tech report. We were aware of the Board's decision to extend the deadline to March 2 so we will just wait to see who submits a Report. Thanks again
Kim

>>> "Kimberley Cliffe-Phillips" <Kimberley@mveirb.nt.ca> 2/26/2004
3:32:13 PM >>>

Hi Shirley,

In response to your request regarding the Technical Analysis Reports:

There is no requirement in our Rules of Procedure to insist that Parties disclose in advance whether or not they will be submitting a Tech Report. At the Pre Hearing Conference, the Tech Report deadline had already passed, which is why I was querying parties as to whether they were intending to submit something or not.

I have attached the Board's decision to extend the deadline for all parties Tech Report. Although some had indicated that they would not be submitting reports, the Board's ruling does not limit any party from doing so.

If Paramount wants to canvass the parties on their own they can.

I hope this satisfactorily answers your request.

Kim

-----Original Message-----

From: Shirley Maaskant [mailto:Shirley.Maaskant@paramountres.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 25, 2004 11:26 AM
To: Kimberley Cliffe-Phillips
Subject: RE: Technical Reports & meeting notes

I thought any participant that would be submitting technical reports needed to state that intent at the pre-hearing conference. Paramount requests a definitive response on the status of technical reports and which groups/agencies will be submitting them.

>>> "Kimberley Cliffe-Phillips" <Kimberley@mveirb.nt.ca> 2/25/2004
11:16:40 AM >>>
Shirley,

The Kakisa meeting notes should be posted by the end of the week.

AS for the Technical Reports, the Tech Report deadline (original one) had already passed by the Pre Hearing Conference. If you will recall, Joe Acorn then requested an extension of the deadline. The Board subsequently met to discuss this matter and agreed to extend the deadline for ALL parties, until March 2, 2004. Therefore, I can not presume that your list of who will and will not be submitting Tech Reports is correct.

I hope this helps.

Kim

-----Original Message-----

From: Shirley Maaskant [mailto:Shirley.Maaskant@paramountres.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 25, 2004 11:02 AM
To: Kimberley Cliffe-Phillips
Subject: Technical Reports & meeting notes

It is my understanding that meeting notes from the Feb 17 Kakisa meeting will be distributed by the MVEIRB. When should we expect to see them?

My notes from the Feb 2 Pre-Hearing Conference and subsequent Hearing transcripts indicate that the following groups/agencies will be submitting the following data. Do you concur?

GNWT- RWED - No Technical report being submitted (response to Wildlife questions required by March 2; transcripts s 96 (3-5); s 96 (7-15))

DFO - Technical report already submitted (nothing further to be presented)

EC - Technical report already submitted (SARA submitted, nothing further to be presented)

NWTMN - No Technical report being submitted (nothing further to be presented)

INAC - No Technical report being submitted (response required from transcripts s 40 (18-19); s 41 (17-19 - will INAC submit this information ASAP at they are not submitting a Technical report?); s 48 (12-13); s 49 (9-10)

DCFN - No Technical report being submitted (nothing further to be presented)

K'atlodeeche First Nation - No Technical report being submitted (nothing further to be presented)

West Point First Nation - No Technical report being submitted (nothing further to be presented)

Fort Providence Metis Local 57 - Technical report being submitted by Joe Acorn by March 2 which will include response to transcripts s 79 (7-9); s 84 (25); s 87 (9-12);

Ka'a'Gee Tu First Nation - Technical report being submitted by Joe Acorn by March 2 which will include response to transcripts s 111 (9-10, 17-18); s 114 (17)

Note: If the above is correct, will the Fort Providence Metis Local 57 and Ka'a'Gee Tu First Nation technical reports be combined in one report?