FACSIMILE MESSAGE



Environmental Protection Branch Suite 301, 5204 - 50th Avenue Yellowknife, NT, X1A 1E2

R	EC	E	V	Ë	
---	----	---	---	---	--

JUL 2 1 2004

MACKENZIE VALLEY ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REVIEW BOARD

DATE:	July 15, 2004		A dest year of the second of the control of the second of
TO:	Kimberley Cliffe-Phillips	FROM:	Ivy Stone
			Environmental Assessment
	MVLWB		Environment Canada
PHONE:	867-669-0506	PHONE:	867-669-4708
FAX:	867-873-66TO 766-7074	FAX:	867-873-8185
Number o Subject:	f pages including cover: 3 EA0405-001 – Horn River Mineral Explo	oration, J. Lîr	nd – Draft Terms of Reference and Work
MESSAGE	:		
Please find questions.	d attached Environment Canada's comments on	the above-me	entioned file. Please call if you have any
Sincerely,			
lvy Stone Environme	ntal Protection Branch		



Environmental Protection Branch Suite 301, 5204 - 50th Avenue Yellowknife, NT, X1A 1E2

July 15, 2004

Mackenzie Valley Land and Water Board 7th Floor-4910 50th Avenue P. O. Box 2130 Yellowknife, NT X1A 2P6

Our file: 4708 001

Via facsimile

Attention: Kimberley Cliffe-Phillips

Re: EA0405-001 - Horn River Mineral Exploration, J. Lind - Draft Terms of Reference and Work Plan

Environment Canada (EC) has reviewed the above noted Draft Terms of Reference and Work Plan and offers the following advice for your consideration. Environment Canada's contribution to your request for comments is based primarily on the mandated responsibilities for the enforcement of Section 36(3) of the Fisheries Act, the Canadian Environmental Protection Act (CEPA), the Migratory Birds Convention Act (MBCA) and Migratory Birds Regulations, and the Species at Risk Act (SARA).

Comments and Recommendations

C Development Description

C-10 Future Development

EC agrees that the proponent should provide information about future development plans associated with this exploration plan. There is a potential that, should preliminary findings show high mineral potential, this project may advance to a higher level of exploration work. In the event that this project does reach an advanced stage of exploration, EC recommends that the proponent outline a mitigation plan to address potential impacts of such an operation in the Candidate Protected Area adjacent to their exploration block(s). For example, would the proponent propose a buffer zone around the exploration block to reduce impacts associated with activities such as overflights, dust, noise etc?

E Alternatives

F-1

EC agrees that the proponent should evaluate the need for this development given that it is surrounded by a Candidate Protected Area and the full ecological and non-renewable resource assessments are still in progress.

J Physical and Biological Environment

J-7 SARA

EC recommends the inclusion of the following comment in this section. "Mitigation measures proposed must be consistent with any applicable recovery strategy or Action plan for the species."

Please do not hesitate to contact me with any questions or comments with regards to the foregoing at (867) 669-4708 or by email at ivy.stone@ec.gc.ca.

Sincerely,

07/21/2004 WED 10:17 [TX/RX NO 6453]

Environmental Assessment

CC:

Steve Harbicht (Head, Assessment & Monitoring, EPB, Environment Canada, Yellowknife, NT) Paula Pacholek (Northern Environmental Assessment Coordinator, EPB, Environment Canada, Yellowknife, NT) Mike Fournier (Environmental Assessment Coordinator, ECB, Environment Canada, Yellowknife, NT)