



**Mackenzie Valley**  
Environmental Impact Review Board

# **EA0506-005**

## **Consolidated Goldwin Ventures Environmental Assessment Workplan**

**Sept. 27, 2005**

### **1 INTRODUCTION**

On Sept. 7, 2005, the Mackenzie Valley Environmental Impact Review Board decided to conduct an environmental assessment on Consolidated Goldwin Ventures' proposed mineral exploration program (application MV2004C0038) pursuant to section 126(3) of the *Mackenzie Valley Resource Management Act* (MVRMA). The Review Board decided to do so based on its own motion, due to concerns regarding a lack of community consultation during the screening and the likelihood of public concern regarding the development, based on the public record of the preliminary screening.

This Environmental Assessment (EA) is subject to the requirements of Part 5 of the MVRMA. It is also subject to the Review Board's *Environmental Impact Assessment Guidelines* and *Rules of Procedure*. Please note that the Rules of Procedure have recently been revised. These documents can be accessed on the Review Board's website at [www.mveirb.nt.ca](http://www.mveirb.nt.ca).

### **2 EA PROCESS**

The Review Board will incorporate the public record from previous assessment of Consolidated Goldwin Ventures and public record from the preliminary screening of this application into its record for this assessment.

This EA will be conducted in potentially two phases. Phase one will consist of a round of information requests and a public hearing that will:

- clarify the scope of the development;
- clarify the scope of the assessment;
- gauge the level of public concern and identify its sources; and
- provide the Board with information to address the factors it must consider in every EA.

Following the hearing the Board will decide whether sufficient evidence has been brought before it to make a decision. If the Board determines it has sufficient

information it will close the public record, enter its deliberations, and issue its report of environmental assessment without entering phase two.

In the event that the hearing and/or prior submissions do not provide the Board with sufficient information, the Board will enter a second phase of the EA. The second phase will consist of a full EA process as described in the EIA Guidelines, including a terms of reference, a developers assessment report, information requests, and technical analysis reports.

This work plan only provides details for phase one. Phase two, if necessary, will be defined based on the outcome of phase one.

### **3 SCOPE**

#### ***3.1 Scope of Development***

The MVEIRB has set the scope of the development to be that of the development described in Land Use Permit application MV2005C0007. The application can be accessed from the MVLWB's public registry at <http://www.mvlwb.com/scr/search.php?landid=%20417> .

The proposed development involves a diamond exploration in the general vicinity of Drybones Bay. The Board may adjust the scope of development based on evidence submitted by the developer or by registered parties prior to or during the public hearing.

#### ***3.2 Scope of Assessment***

During phase one the MVEIRB will consider the following factors pursuant to MVRMA s. 117(2):

- the impact of the development on the environment, including malfunctions or accidents and any cumulative impact that is likely to result;
- the significance of any such impact;
- any comments submitted by members of the public;
- the imposition of mitigation measures, where an impact is found; and
- any other matter including available alternatives to the development.

The Board will refine the scope of assessment, including temporal and spatial boundaries based on evidence submitted prior to or during the public hearing.

### **4 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES**

#### ***4.1 Review Board***

The Review Board is required to undertake the following in relation to this EA:

- Determine the scope of the development, in accordance with ss.117 (1) of the MVRMA;
- Consider environmental assessment factors in accordance with ss.117 (2) of the MVRMA;
- Make a determination regarding the environmental impacts or public concern about the development, in accordance with ss.128 (1) of the MVRMA;
- Report to the Federal Minister in accordance with ss.128 (2) of the MVRMA; and,
- Identify areas and extent of effects, within or outside the Mackenzie Valley in which the development is likely to have a significant adverse impact or be a cause of significant public concern, in accordance with ss.128 (4) of the MVRMA.

#### **4.2 Review Board Staff**

The Review Board's Executive Director and staff are the primary contacts for the developer, government bodies (federal, territorial and municipal), non-government organizations, aboriginal groups, expert advisors (experts contracted directly by the Review Board), the public and other interested parties. This does not limit or preclude the Developer from contacting other parties during the EA process. The Review Board may choose to hire expert advisors to provide technical expertise on specific aspects of the EA.

All related correspondence should be directed to Alan Ehrlich, Senior Environmental Assessment Officer (Tel: (867) 766-7056; Fax: (867) 766-7074; e-mail [aehlich@mveirb.nt.ca](mailto:aehlich@mveirb.nt.ca)).

#### **4.3 Developer**

The developer is expected to respond in a suitable and timely manner to directions and requests issued by the Review Board. During phase one of the EA such requests may include the provision of a detailed development description, presence and participation in a pre-hearing conference and a hearing, and the production of public information material (e.g. a presentation). In phase two, if required, additional requests may include responses to information requests, translation of documents, and production of a developer's assessment report. The developer may present additional information at any time to the Review Board beyond what was requested during the EA process.

The Review Board encourages the developer to continue consulting all potentially affected communities and organizations during the EA process.

#### **4.4 Parties**

The Review Board's *Rules of Procedure* no longer distinguish between directly affected parties and interveners. All parties to the EA have the same rights and responsibilities. Party standing does not confer any legal status beyond the Review Board's proceeding. Parties may present information at any time during the EA and may be given an opportunity to submit information requests for Board approval. Parties may also be asked to provide the Board with technical reports.

## 5 EA PHASE 1 SCHEDULE

The following provides an estimated schedule for **phase one** of this EA. “Days” refer to working days. An additional schedule will be produced for phase two if necessary, depending on the outcome of phase one.

<b>Activity/Milestone</b>	<b>Timeframe</b>
Board seeks detailed development description	-----
Information Requests prepared and issued	15 days
Responses from developer and parties	10 days
Pre-hearing conference (teleconference)	5 days
Community hearing preparation	5 days
Community Hearing	2 days
Board decision whether to enter phase two of EA	5 days
Issuance of decision and report of environmental assessment	25 days

Note that the Review Board may decide that a hearing is not necessary if it has, in its opinion, the information it requires to reach its decision. If a hearing is required, all parties will be informed of the exact time and location for the hearing and the pre-hearing conference as soon as possible. The pre-hearing conference will be conducted via telephone conferencing; parties present in Yellowknife that day are encouraged to attend in person. The public hearing will be held in Yellowknife and will be conducted as a ‘community hearing’ under the MVEIRB’s Rules of Procedure.

## 6 IDENTIFICATION OF PARTIES

The Board is asking individuals and organizations to request party standing by Sept. 30<sup>th</sup>, 2005. Party status may be granted at any time during the proceedings.

## 7 WRITTEN SUBMISSIONS

All parties, as well as the public, are invited to submit evidence that, in their opinion, will assist the Board in conducting this environmental assessment. In particular, the Board is seeking any evidence any party may have that addresses the factors outlined in section 3.2 of this work plan.

Written submissions will be placed on the public record. Upon special request the Board may consider confidential submissions. Parties who do not wish to have their submission put on the public record must contact board staff prior to making a submission. The Board will decide on a case by case basis on the merits of a request for confidentiality and if it will receive and consider such a submission as per its Rules of Procedure.

Submissions should be in a format that is easily accessible to all EA participants. The Review Board prefers documents to be submitted digitally in either Word or PDF format. However, hardcopy, hand delivered or via courier, as well as fax transmissions is acceptable as long as the documents can be reproduced via photocopier in a clearly legible manner. For regular mail the date the submission is received at the Review Board's office is considered to be the submission date. The Board will not consider any submission after the closing of the public record.

Oversized items or items that are difficult to reproduce, such as colour maps, should be submitted digitally, and/or hardcopy in sufficient quantities to be distributed to those parties with limited access to computer technology. Please contact the Review Board's staff for the quantities required.