



Our File: EA0506-006

15 September 2005

Mr. Laurence Stephenson
GEOFIN
1136 Martin St.
White Rock, BC V4B 3W1
Fax 604-687-7179

Dear Mr. Stephenson;

Re: Details of work proposed by Sidon Int'l.

In the interest of efficiency, the Review Board will be using the information on the public record of your preliminary screening (MV2004C0039) to supplement the public record for this environmental assessment.

Before issuing a detailed Workplan, the Board requires a better basic understanding of the work you propose. Please provide the following information to the Review Board:

1. A 1:50 000 scale topographical map showing the specific locations of the proposed drill holes, camp, and access routes (The one in your screening application is not detailed enough). In addition, please make it completely clear which drill holes are part of the Sidon project (as opposed to the Consolidate Goldwin project),
2. A description of whether each drill hole will be on land or water. For those on water, describe a) on what body of water is the drill hole located; b) in what depth of water; and c) how far from the shore. Where this information is not available, please say so.
3. A short verbal description (beyond coordinates) of the location of each drill hole to supplement the map requested in (1) above.
4. For each drill hole, describe your proposed means of access. Your application states that the majority of holes will be accessed by helicopter, but the map from your screening application indicates access roads to most sites.
5. Documentation of any additional efforts you have made to consult with the Yellowknives Dene First Nation since August 31st, 2005.

Please be thorough in answering these questions. The more clearly the Review Board understands the proposed development, the better it will be able to design a work plan for the environmental assessment that is appropriate to the scale and issues of the work. It is



hoped that you can provide the Review Board with thorough responses here, in a simpler and potentially faster way than is possible by the Review Board's formal Information Request process.

You will soon receive a similar set of questions pertaining to the Consolidated Goldwin development. Please respond to that letter separately, to prevent any confusion about the two developments and to help us better maintain two separate public records.

Sincerely,

Alan Ehrlich
Senior Environmental Assessment Officer

cc: Distribution List