



**Evidence Transfer and Scoping Questions**  
**For**

**EA0708-002 – Uravan Minerals Inc’s Boomerang  
Lake South Mineral Exploration**

**EA0708-003 – Uravan Minerals Inc’s Boomerlang  
Lake North Mineral Exploration**

**EA0708-004 – Bayswater Uranium Corporation’s  
EL Lake Mineral Exploration**

**EA0708-005 – Bayswater Uranium Corporation’s  
Crab Lake Mineral Exploration**

## Introduction

This document provides organizations interested in engaging in any or all of the listed EAs (EA0708-002 through 0708-005, which are all early stage mineral exploration programs in the Upper Thelon river basin), an opportunity to provide input to help the Mackenzie Valley Environmental Impact Review Board (Review Board) scope the environmental assessments (EAs). A series of questions is provided here for your response. All responses will be taken into consideration when the Review Board is determining the final scope of assessment and scope of development for these EAs.

**Each of the proposed developments is described in detail in the *Project Description Summaries* provided by the developers (paper copies have been provided to all interested groups, and the documents are also available on the Review Board's website public registry at [www.mveirb.nt.ca](http://www.mveirb.nt.ca)). Please refer to these summaries when answering the attached evidence transfer and scoping questions.**

**It is important for the Review Board to understand which EA or EAs your answers relate to. If all of your answers refer to all of the EAs, simply clarify that once at the beginning of your reply correspondence.** If your responses differ by EA, provide clear guidance on which of the following you are providing for the relevant question:

- 1) one response that covers your organization's answer in relation to each of the proposed developments;
- 2) individual responses for each EA, clearly delineating which development is being referred to in each response; or
- 3) a group response for two or more of the EAs, along with individual responses for one or more of the EAs (again clearly identifying the proposed development being referred to in each response).

The onus is on each responding organization to clearly identify which answers refer to which proposed developments.

In addition, there is a *Request for Party Status* form provided. Any organization that would like party status for the EA(s) in question should fill out this form. For more information on the roles and responsibilities of parties to an EA, consult the Review Board's *Environmental Impact Assessment Guidelines* or *Rules of Procedure*, both of which are available at [www.mveirb.nt.ca](http://www.mveirb.nt.ca).

**The due date for this material is Monday April 7, 2008. Please reply via fax or email to**

Alistair MacDonald  
Environmental Assessment Officer  
Mackenzie Valley Environmental Impact Review Board  
[amacdonald@mveirb.nt.ca](mailto:amacdonald@mveirb.nt.ca)  
fax (867) 766-7074 phone (867) 766-7056

*Evidence Transfer and Scoping Questions for Upper Thelon Environmental Assessments*  
Mackenzie Valley Environmental Impact Review Board

Do not hesitate to contact me with any questions or comments.

Regards,

Alistair MacDonald

## ***Evidence Transfer Questions***

### **Preamble:**

During the start up phase of these EAs, several groups have identified a desire for the evidence they submitted during the previous EA of UR Energy's Screech Lake exploration program (EA0607-003) to be included on the public records of these current EAs.

The Review Board has examined the public registry for the UR Energy Screech Lake EA and placed a draft list of the documents from EA0607-003 it feels are relevant to the current EAs on the next page as Table 1. Before making a final decision on formally transferring some of this evidence onto the public records for these EAs, the Review Board wants to hear from interested groups about the relevance of these documents, and whether any additional documents should be "rolled into" the current EAs.

The entire public record for the UR Energy EA is available online at [www.mveirb.nt.ca](http://www.mveirb.nt.ca), and is summarized along with Public Registry numbers here in Appendix A.

### **Evidence Transfer Questions:**

#### **1a) Written Submissions**

Consider Table 1. All the documents listed can be viewed online.

Identify, with reasons:

- Any documents from the EA0607-003 public registry **not** on the draft evidence list that you feel should be; and
- Any documents on the draft list that should not be included.

#### **1b) Oral Submissions**

**The Review Board is considering placing the entire *Hearing Transcript and Report of Reasons for Decision* from EA0607-003 on the public records for consideration as evidence for the current EAs.**

Identify:

- Whether your organization agrees with this, or if not, why; and
- Any testimony from the Hearing Transcript that you feel should not be transferred, with reasons.

**Table 1: Draft List of EA0607-003 Evidence for “Roll-over”**

<b>Description</b>	<b>Public Registry #</b>
Submission from World Wildlife Fund to the original assessment (EA0506-003) of the proposed UR Energy development.	9
Management plan for the Thelon Game Sanctuary transferred from the original assessment (EA0506-003) of the proposed UR Energy development.	10
“Thelon” book submitted to the original assessment (EA0506-003) of the proposed UR Energy development.	11
Original preliminary screening report and referral to EA of the proposed development. The resulting EA0506-003 was cancelled when the developer withdrew its application.	12
Submission from Mr David Pelly to original assessment (EA0506-003) of the proposed UR Energy development.	16
Submission from Canoe Arctic to previous (cancelled) assessment EA0506-003 of the proposed development.	17
Akaitcho Treaty 8 Tribal Corp. .submission to original assessment (EA0506-003) of the proposed UR Energy development	18
WWF submission to preliminary screening.	19
Comments on the draft work plan for the assessment from the Beverly and Qamanirjuaq Caribou Management Board (BQCMB)	24
BQCMB submission to preliminary screening. Submitted as part of the BQCMB's comments on the draft work plan for this assessment.	25
Attachment to BQCMB submission to preliminary screening. Submitted as part of the BQCMB's comments on the draft work plan for this assessment.	26
Information requests issued based on submissions from parties and the Review Board's own information requirements	39
Response to information request IR0607-003-3 from the GNWT.	53
Response from World Wildlife Fund to IR0607-003-4 on special values of the project area.	57
Response to IR0607-003-4 regarding special values of project area from the Łutsël K'e Dene First Nation.	58
The developer's response to information requests IR0607-003-5,6,7,8,9,10,12.	67
BQCMB written submission (for public hearing)	75-87
Letters of public concern	88
Newsletter published by INAC- winter 2006-" Akaitcho Interim Land withdrawals: making space to negotiate"	93
Bulletin from BQCMB: "Protecting Beverly and Qamanirjuaq Caribou for All time"	94
Recommendations from the BQCMB and the GNWT after the public hearing in response to commitments made by the developer to replace recommendations made earlier.	97
Recommendations by Environment Canada in the event that the proposed development will be carried out during summer months.	99
Various letters to INAC Minister re: UR Energy EA	107-118

## **Scoping Questions**

### **Preamble:**

Effective environmental assessment requires focusing on the most important issues, those which have the potential to contribute to significant impacts on the environment or significant public concern. These scoping questions provide you an opportunity to identify similarities within and differences between these developments (in activity type/timing or location) in order to focus the scopes of assessment for these EAs.

The UR Energy Screech Lake EA, as well as the preliminary screening process for these four current EAs, identified issues related to the regional location of these proposed developments as paramount considerations. In particular, the Upper Thelon River Basin has been identified by some parties as an important cultural landscape and an important migration route for caribou.

Given that these proposed developments are in the same Upper Thelon River Basin as the previously proposed UR Energy Screech Lake exploration program, and would like to conduct very similar activities, interested groups have previously stated that similar issues should be prioritized during these EAs as during EA0607-003<sup>1</sup>. Now is your organization's opportunity to identify similarities and differences between the required scope of assessment for these EAs, by answering the following questions.

Questions 2a and 2b ask for input on what specific issues need to be considered during these EAs.

Question 3 asks respondents to identify whether there have been any changes to the overarching regional context, particularly where these changes might have implications for protection of the biophysical, social or cultural environment.

In addition to regional issues, the Review Board wants to know if there are local issues, or development plan specific issues, that require additional consideration for one or more of the developments. Use the *Project Description Summaries* provided by the developers to help you make this determination in your answer to question 4.

---

<sup>1</sup> In particular, the Review Board notes the responses to its *Call for Comments on How to Structure Upper Thelon EAs*, which came during October and November of 2007 and are available at [www.mveirb.nt.ca](http://www.mveirb.nt.ca).

**Scoping Questions:**

2a) Identify specific issues (if any) related to the following for any or all of the four current EAs:

- a. Impacts on the Beverly and Ahiak Caribou herds;
- b. Impacts on aboriginal cultural values associated with the Upper Thelon river basin.

*Please be as **specific** as possible in identifying how you feel the developments in question might impact upon these key valued components, separating the individual projects where necessary.*

2b) Identify any other important issues, other than those listed in Question #2a, that you feel need to be considered for any or all of the four current EAs. Describe the reasons why you think these are important issues (if any).

3) Identify any changes in the regional context or setting that have occurred since the federal minister's decision on EA0607-003, UR Energy Screech Lake that alter the potential for impacts and public concerns from these four proposed developments.

4) Is there anything about the specific locations or development components of any of the new applications that is different enough so that additional issues need to be scoped in, or additional focus placed on a particular issue during that EA?

*Examples might include unique or important landforms, water crossings, heritage resource potential and site-specific cultural value (e.g., place names, stories), habitat suitability and species prevalence (including SARA-listed species), key harvesting areas, aquatic resources, and development timing, among others.*

	<b>Mackenzie Valley Environmental Impact Review Board</b>  <b>FORM 1</b>	<b>Request for Party Status</b>
---	--	---------------------------------

Name of Organization:	
Name of Proceeding (Circle one or more or all):	EA0708-002 – UraVan Minerals Inc’s Boomerang Lake South Mineral Exploration EA0708-003 – UraVan Minerals Inc Boomerlang Lake North Mineral Exploration EA0708-004 – Bayswater Uranium Corporation’s EL Lake Mineral Exploration EA0708-005 – Bayswater Uranium Corporation’s Crab Lake Mineral Exploration

Reasons for requesting Party Status in these proceedings.

<b>Participation:</b> Please describe how you or your organization intends to participate in this proceeding, such as what information, witnesses, or presentations you plan to submit.

If you represent a Responsible Minister or Responsible Authority please state which one. List the licences, permits or authorizations issued by your organization relevant to this proceeding.

<b>Contact Information:</b> Please confirm the organization's contact information and the name of the primary contact person for Board correspondence purposes.

Dated at _____, (prov/terr), on (MM/DD/YY) _____. (Signature of Party's Representative)
--



## **Appendix A: Public Registry Index for EA0607-003 (UR Energy Screech Lake)**

DocName	Description	Originator	PR#
Areva letter	Letter from Areva Resources Canada on operations of uranium mining in Saskatchewan in response to presentations made at the public hearing in Łutsël K'e January 16-17, 2007	Areva	0
Developer Letter February 07 Submission to MVEIRB	Post hearing submission by the developer responding to various questions raised during the January 16/17, 2007 public hearing, including a qualitative cumulative effects assessment.	Developer	0
UR Energy LUP Application	The developer's application to the MVLWB. This document contains a description of the environment, as well as the developer's analysis of potential environmental impacts.	Developer	1
Referral and screening report	The MVLWB's report of preliminary screening and referral to environmental assessment letter.	MVLWB	2
Company notification	Letter to notify Ur Energy of the environmental assessment	MVEIRB	3
UR Energy Location	Overview map showing approximate location of the proposed development	MVEIRB	4
Notification – ad	NewsNorth advertising of EA start up.	MVEIRB	5
General notification	Letter from MVEIRB notifying potentially interested organizations and individuals of the EA start up.	MVEIRB	6
Initial distribution list	Organizations and individuals who received the general notification of the EA start up.	MVEIRB	7
Draft workplan EA0607-003	Draft work plan issued September 2006. Describes the scope of the assessment and the proposed EA process.	MVEIRB	8
WWF EA0506-003	Submission from World Wildlife Fund to previous (cancelled) assessment (EA0605-003) of the proposed development.	WWF	9
Thelon Game Sanctuary Management Plan	Management plan for the Thelon Game Sanctuary transferred from the record for EA0506-003 a previous (cancelled) assessment of the proposed development.	MVEIRB	10
Thelon A River Sanctuary - place holder for book (EA0506-003)	This is a place holder for a book submitted to the previous (cancelled) assessment EA0506-003 of the proposed development.	David Pelly	11
Referral and screening report MV2005C0007	Original preliminary screening report and referral to EA of the proposed development. The resulting EA0506-003 was cancelled when the developer withdrew its application.	MVLWB	12
Plain language uranium exploration summary (EA0506-003)	Submission by developer to previous (cancelled) assessment EA0506-003 of the proposed development. Summary description of uranium exploration.	Developer	13

*Evidence Transfer and Scoping Questions for Upper Thelon Environmental Assessments*  
Mackenzie Valley Environmental Impact Review Board

DocName	Description	Originator	PR#
LUP Application MV2005C0007	Original application for the proposed development that resulted in a previous (cancelled) assessment EA0506-003.	MVLWB	14
Joan Pelly EA0506-003	Submission from Ms Joan Pelly to previous (cancelled) assessment EA0506-003 of the proposed development.	Joan Pelly	15
David Pelly EA0506-003	Submission from Mr David Pelly to previous (cancelled) assessment EA0506-003 of the proposed development.	David Pelly	16
Canoe Arctic EA0506-003	Submission from Canoe Arctic to previous (cancelled) assessment EA0506-003 of the proposed development.	Canoe Arctic	17
Treaty 8 submission EA0506-003	Submission to previous (cancelled) assessment EA0506-003 of the proposed assessment for the Akaitcho Treaty 8 Tribal Corporation.	Akaitcho Treaty 8	18
WWF screening submission	WWF submission to preliminary screening.	WWF	19
Workplan comments Golder (developer)	Comments on the draft work plan for the assessment from Golder Associates who represents the developer.	Developer	20
Draft work plan comments Łutsël K'e	Comments on the draft work plan for the assessment from Łutsël K'e Wildlife and Environment Committee.	Łutsël K'e	21
GNWT Regulatory Response Aug 18 06	Comments by GNWT to MVLWB's preliminary screening process. The GNWT submitted these as part of their comments on the work plan for this assessment.	GNWT	22
GNWT Comments on Draft Work Plan Oct 5 06	Comments on the draft work plan for the assessment from the GNWT.	GNWT	23
Draft work plan comments BQCMB	Comments on the draft work plan for the assessment from the BQCMB.	BQCMB	24
BQCMB screening submission	BQCMB submission to preliminary screening. Submitted as part of the BQCMB's comments on the draft work plan for this assessment.	BQCMB	25
BQCMB screening attachment	Attachment to BQCMB submission to preliminary screening. Submitted as part of the BQCMB's comments on the draft work plan for this assessment.	BQCMB	26
Work_plan_EA0607-003	The work plan for EA0607-003 Ur Energy environmental assessment. Defines the scope of the assessment and outlines the assessment process including a schedule.	MVEIRB	27
INAC work plan comments	Comments on draft work plan from INAC.	INAC	28
IR invite letter	Letter inviting parties to EA to submit information requests.	MVEIRB	29
IR_template	Template for information request submissions.	MVEIRB	30
John Groves EA0506-003 submission	Submission to previous (cancelled) assessment EA0506-003 of the proposed assessment by Mr. John Groves.	John Groves	31
Party status notification October 06	Letter from MVEIRB announcing party status for organizations who applied prior to October 21, 2006.	MVEIRB	32

*Evidence Transfer and Scoping Questions for Upper Thelon Environmental Assessments*  
Mackenzie Valley Environmental Impact Review Board

DocName	Description	Originator	PR#
BQCMB IR for Ur-Energy EA_1Nov06	Information Request submission from Beverly and Qamanirjuag Caribou Management Board.	BQCMB	33
Env Canada IR submission	Information Request submissions from Environment Canada.	Environment Canada	34
GNWT IR submission	Information Request submissions from GNWT.	GNWT	35
T8TC IRs for URNRG EA 2006	Information request submissions from NWT Treaty 8 Tribal Corporation.	Treaty 8 Corporation	36
LKDFN Information Requests-Nov06	Information request submissions from Łutsël K'e Dene First Nation.	LKDFN	37
IR_submissions_reasons_for_decision	The MVEIRB's review of IR submissions how submissions were incorporated into IRs issued and reasons for not issuing certain IR submissions.	MVEIRB	38
Information_requests	Information requests issued based on submissions from parties and the Review Board's own information needs.	MVEIRB	39
IR_distribution_letter	Cover letter for information requests and IR submission review documents. Also includes timeline for IR responses	MVEIRB	40
INAC letter re IR submissions	Letter stating that INAC is not submitting information requests.	INAC	41
Ur-EnergyMtgMinutes-June7-06	Minutes of meeting between Łutsël K'e First Nation and Ur Energy June 7, 2006. The meeting was public. Notes provided by LKDFN.	LKDFN	42
<i>Watching the land</i> report	Watching the Land: Cumulative Effects Assessment in the Denesohne Territory. Report from Łutsël K'e Dene First Nation to NWT CEAM Steering Committee and CARC, August 2001.	MVEIRB	43
PHC_invitation_letter	Letter inviting parties to pre-hearing conference December 13, 2006.	MVEIRB	44
PHC_Agenda	Tentative agenda for pre-hearing conference December 13, 2006.	MVEIRB	45
NSMA_party_status_notification	Notification of party status for North Slave Metis Alliance.	MVEIRB	46
Akaiicho letter to INAC re consultation Ur Energy	Letter from NWT Treaty 8 Tribal Corporation to INAC regarding crown consultation in connection with the proposed Ur Energy mineral exploration development.	Treaty 8 Corporation	47
Łutsël K'e - Parks Canada MOU	Memorandum of Understanding between the Łutsël K'e Dene First Nation and the Parks Canada Agency on exploring the feasibility of establishing a National Park at the East Arm of Great Slave Lake.	LKDFN	48
IR0607-003-11 Environment Canada Response	Environment Canada's response to IR0607-003-11 in regards to noise impacts. IR originally directed to GNWT.	Environment Canada	49
Pre-Hearing Conference Reminder	Reminder notice for pre-hearing conference, includes agenda and development-caribou timelines graphic as attachments.	MVEIRB	50
Cover letter GNWT IR responses	Cover letter for information request responses from GNWT.	GNWT	51

*Evidence Transfer and Scoping Questions for Upper Thelon Environmental Assessments*  
Mackenzie Valley Environmental Impact Review Board

DocName	Description	Originator	PR#
IR0607-003-1 GNWT Response	Response to information request IR0607-003-1 from the GNWT.	GNWT	52
IR0607-003-3 GNWT Response	Response to information request IR0607-003-3 from the GNWT.	GNWT	53
IR0607-003-11 GNWT Response	Response to information request IR0607-003-11 from the GNWT.	GNWT	54
IR0607-003-13 GNWT Response	Response to information request IR0607-003-13 from the GNWT.	GNWT	55
Letter_announcing_two_day_hearing	Letter to all parties announcing change to hearing schedule from one day to two days.	MVEIRB	56
IR0607-003-4 WWF Response (Special Values of Project Area)	Response from World Wildlife Fund to IR0607-003-4 on special values of the project area.	WWF	57
LKDFN Response to IR's-Dec06	Response to IR0607-003-4 regarding special values of project area from the Łutsël K'e Dene First Nation.	LKDFN	58
IR0607-003-2 INAC Response	INAC's response to IR0506-003-2 on industrial developments and cumulative effects in the Thelon area. Map not included.	INAC	59
Athabaska Denesuline maps	Maps submitted by the Athabasca Denesuline as part of their request for party status.	Denesuline	60
Athabaska Denesuline submission to EA0506-003	Submission to previous EA of this project (EA0506-003) by Athabasca Denesuline. This submission was transferred after the scoping phase of the EA concluded.	Denesuline	61
Draft Hearing Agenda	Draft agenda for the community hearing January 16/17, 2007 in Łutsël K'e .	MVEIRB	62
Denesuline request for party status	Athabasca Denesuline have written requesting party status for the Ur Energy EA. They also express concern they have not been informed about the EA.	Denesuline	63
Denesuline letter response	The Review Board's response to the Athabasca Denesuline's request for party status and explanation of steps taken to involve them in this EA	MVEIRB	64
Clarification-LKDFN Response to IR's-Jan07	A letter clarifying a statement in the Łutsël K'e Dene First Nation's IR response in regards to the project location being outside a proposed national park.	Monica Krieger, WLED-Lutsel Ke Dene First Nation	65
Jim Storey submission	Mr. Jim Storey of The Great Canadian Adventure Company expresses concern over allowing any industrial development in the Thelon area and his support for efforts to protect the area.	Jim Storey	66
Developer IR responses	The developer's response to information requests IR0607-003-5,6,7,8,9,10,12.	developer	67
WWF presentation	Presentation by World Wildlife Fund at the community hearing in Łutsël K'e January 16 and 17, 2007.	WWF	68
1169654499_Baker Lake HTO presentation	Written submission from the Baker Lake HTO to the Review Board.	Baker Lake HTO	69
Deninu Kue First Nation UR Energy Presentation	Presentation by Deninu Kue First Nation at the community hearing in Łutsël K'e Jan. 16-17, 2007.	DKFN	70

*Evidence Transfer and Scoping Questions for Upper Thelon Environmental Assessments*  
Mackenzie Valley Environmental Impact Review Board

DocName	Description	Originator	PR#
INAC presentation	Presentation by INAC at the community hearing in Łutsël K'e January 16 and 17, 2007.	INAC	71
Lutsel Ke Dene First Nation presentation	Presentation by Lutsel Ke Dene First Nation at the community hearing in Łutsël K'e January 16 and 17, 2007.	LKDFN	72
Treaty 8 presentation	Presentation by Treaty 8 at the community hearing in Łutsël K'e January 16 and 17, 2007.	T8TC-Akaitcho	73
1169656124_Ur Energy presentation	Presentation by Ur Energy at the community hearing in Łutsël K'e January 16 and 17, 2007.	Ur Energy	74
1169661649_BQCMB_Ur -Energy hearing_PartA&B	Presentation by BQCMB at the community hearing in Łutsël K'e January 16 and 17, 2007.	BQCMB	75
1169661649_BQCMB_Ur -Energy hearing_PartA&B	Presentation by BQCMB at the community hearing in Łutsël K'e January 16 and 17, 2007.	BQCMB	75
1169659256_Bev spring conc areas	Map-spring Range of Beverly Caribou-Concentration areas and Primary Movement Corridors between 1955-1993	BQCMB	76
1169658989_Bev late summer	Map-Late Summer Range of Beverly Caribou between 1957 and 1981	BQCMB	77
1169658774_Bev fall migration-rut	Map-Range used during Fall Migration and Rut by Beverly Caribou: between 1957 & 1983	BQCMB	78
1169663004_monthly_locs_general_ah+bv	Map-Collared cows from the Ahiak and Beverly Caribou Herds (1995-2006)	BQCMB	79
1169663126_monthly_locs_ur_area2_ah+bv	Map-Collared cows from the Ahiak and Beverly Caribou Herds (1995-2006)	BQCMB	80
1169663199_monthly_locs_ur_area_ah+bv	Map-Collared Cows from the Ahiak and Beverly Caribou Herds (1995-2006)	BQCMB	81
1169661499_BQ water crossings_NWT	Map-Water and Ice Crossings used by Beverly Caribou in the Western Northwest Territories	BQCMB	82
1169660285_BQ total range & calving	Map-The range-wide context: Beverly and Qamanirjuaq Caribou Range: (1940-1995)	BQCMB	83
1169662901_Thelon_Basin	Map-Thelon Basin-Potential Uranium Deposits	BQCMB	84
1169662812_South Thelon claims	Map-South Thelon Basin Mineral Claims.	BQCMB	85
1169661860_Mineral rights on Bev&Qam calving grounds_Sep06	Map- Companies with Mineral Rights in the Calving Grounds of the Beverly and Qamanirjuaq Caribou Herd -Sept 2006	BQCMB	86
1169660645_BQCMB submission_info for cumeffects-caribou assessment_14Jan	Additional information submitted by BQCMB to the Review Board for cumulative effects and caribou	BQCMB	87
1169663543_public letters 1 to 33	Letters of public concern	Various	88
1169662573_Map__Car te_A	Map-A: Thaydene Nene	T8TC-Akaitcho	89
Barren Lands Sacred Lives	Equinox Magazine Article:October 1993	WWF	91

*Evidence Transfer and Scoping Questions for Upper Thelon Environmental Assessments*  
Mackenzie Valley Environmental Impact Review Board

DocName	Description	Originator	PR#
Canoe Arctic Inc. Presentation	Presentation by Canoe Arctic Inc. at the community hearing in Łutsël K'e January 16 and 17, 2007.	Canoe Arctic, Alex Hall	92
NWT Plain Talk: Akaitcho Interim Land Withdrawals	Newsletter published by INAC- winter 2006-"Akaitcho Interim Land withdrawals: making space to negotiate"	WWF	93
Protecting the B & Q Caribou	Bulletin from BQCMB: "Protecting Beverly and Qamanirjuaq Caribou for All time"	BQCMB	94
Letter from public (2)	Public opinions on development in the Thelon area.	Various	95
EA0607-003 UrEnergy additional IR	Additional information requests from the MVEIRB to INAC regarding a proposed cumulative effects study in the Thelon basin and the process for establishing mineral claims.	MVEIRB	96
BQCMB-GNWT recommendations	Recommendations from the BQCMB and the GNWT after the public hearing in response to commitments made by the developer to replace recommendations made earlier.	BQCMB	97
DKFN Exploration Agreements	In response to a question posed at the public hearing the DNKFN submitted this description of Exploration Agreements between developers and Akaitcho First Nations.	DKFN	98
Environment Canada recommendations summer work	Recommendations by Environment Canada in the event that the proposed development will be carried out during summer months.	Environment Canada	99
Areva letter	Letter from John Tosney, Areva Resources Canada Inc.	Areva Inc.	100
Developer Letter Feb.07	Letter from Ur Energy- Feb 07 submission	Ur Energy	101
INAC post- hearing responses	IR responses from INAC submitted after the hearing	INAC	102
Bayswater Uranium Crab Lake Application	Land Use Permit Application # MV2007C0009, for uranium exploration in the Upper Thelon basin	MVLWB	103
Bayswater Uranium El Lake Application	Land Use Permit Application # MV2007C0010, for uranium exploration in the Upper Thelon basin	MVLWB	104