

Mackenzie Valley Land and Water Board

7th Floor - 4910 50th Avenue • P.O. Box 2130 YELLOWKNIFE, NT X1A 2P6 Phone (867) 669-0506 • FAX (867) 873-6610

August 23, 2007

File: MV2007C0009

Fax: 867-766-7074

Mr. Vern Christensen Executive Director Box 938, 200 Scotia Center YELLOWKNIFE NT X1A 2N7

Dear Mr. Christensen:

Referral to Environmental Assessment
Bayswater Uranium Corporation, MV2007C0009
Mineral Exploration, Thelon Basin, Dubawnt River Watershed, Crab Lake

This Mackenzie Valley Land and Water Board (MVLWB) met on August 23, 2007 to deal with the aforementioned application. The decision was made to refer the application to the Mackenzie Valley Environmental Impact Review Board (MVEIRB) for an environmental assessment pursuant to subsection 125(1) of the *Mackenzie Valley Resource Management Act*. The reasons for decision and the preliminary screening report are attached for your information.

If you have any questions, contact Kathleen Graham, Regulatory Officer at (867) 669-0506 or email permits@mvlwb.com.

Yours sincere

Willard Hagen Interim Chair

Attachment

RECEIVED

AUG 2 9 2007

MACKENZIE VALLEY ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REVIEW BOARD

Copied to: Gordon Davidson, Bayswater Uranium Corporation

Darnell McCurdy, South Mackenzie District, DIAND, Yellowknife

Kathleen Graham, MVLWB

PRELIMINARY SCREENING REPORT FORM

PRELIMINARY SCREENER: MVLWB REFERENCE / FILE NUMBER: MV2007C0009 TITLE: Exploration, Crab Lake, Dubawnt River Wa ORGANIZATION: Bayswater Uranium Corporation MEETING DATE: August 23, 2007		EIRB REFERENCE NUMBER:		
Type of Development:	Requi	d, EIRB Ref. # res permit, license or au not require permit, licens	thorization se or authorization	
Project Summary				
Bayswater Uranium Corporation is proposing to conduct the Thelon River Drainage Basin in the vicinity of the Thelon River Drainage Basin in the vicinity of the Thelon River Drainage Basin in the vicinity of the Thelon River Drainage Basin in the vicinity of the Thelon Reophysical follow-up and drilling in late Augusta Limited ground geophysics A 5,000m-10,000m diamond drill program condeep); Airborne Geophysical surveys in 2007 over the activities contingent on results of previous working the storage; Fuel storage; The operation of a camp capable of supporting the use of land and air vehicles to move persults of the program of the use of land and air vehicles to move persults.	nelon Game lust / Septem nsisting of 10 ne South Cla ork. ng up to 14 p	Sanctuary. The explorance 2007, or March/Apr 0-20 drill hole diamond culms, with follow-up work people; and	tion program will include: il 2008. Irill program (individual holes between 300-60	
The following fuel will be stored at the camp site: 125 drums of diesel fuel; 250 drums of Aviation Fuel; 3 drums of gasoline; 30-100lb propane cylinders; and				
The following equipment will be used on site: 1 Helicopter (206L or equivalent); 1 (Longyear LF or equivalent); 1 Timberjack (for moving drills on snow); 2 Portable water pumps (for camp); 1 Generator; and 1-2 Snowmobiles/ATVs.				
All combustible solid wastes will be incinerated on site facility. All sewage and grey water will be disposed of in		oustibles will be flown to	Yellowknife to be disposed of at the solid wa	raste
Scope This permit entitles Bayswater Uranium Corpora a) Mineral Exploration Work - 61°59'N to 75I/16 & 75P/1 & 8). b) Establishment of a 14-person camp at	63°21'N. a	nd 103°18' to 104°36'V	es: V. (Map Sheet No.s 65L/6, 10-15, 65M/2, 3	& 4,
Principal Activities (related to scoping) (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)				
☐ Construction ☐ Installation ☐ Maintenance ☐ Expansion ☐ Operation ☐ Repair ☐ Research	Exploration Industrial Recreation Municipal Quarry Linear / Corr Sewage	ridor	☐ Decommissioning ☐ Abandonment ☑ Aerial ☐ Harvesting ☑ Camp ☐ Scientific/ ☐ Solid Waste	

Principal Development Compo	onents (related to scoping)		
Access Road construction abandonment/removal modification e.g., widening, s Automobile, Aircraft or Vesse Blasting Building Burning Channeling Cut and Fill Cutting of Trees or Removal Dams and Impoundments construction abandonment/remained modification Ditch Construction Drainage Alteration Drilling other than Geoscient Ecological Surveys Excavation Explosive Storage Fuel Storage Topsoil, Overburden or Soil	el Movement of Vegetation oval		ting
Latitude / Longitude and UTM	55M/2, 3 & 4, 75I/16 & 75P/1 & 8 System: 69'00"N to 63°21'00"N. and 103°18'0	00"W to 104°36′00"W	
Nearest Community and Wate Lutsel K'e/Crab Lake	er Body:		
Land Status (consultation info	ormation)		
☐ Free Hold / Private	☐ Commissioners Land	☑ Federal Crown Land	☐ Municipal Land
Transboundary/Transregional	l Implications		
☐ British Columbia ☐ Nunavut ☐ Wek'èezhìi	☐ Alberta ☐ Wood Buffalo National Park ☐ Gwich'in	☐ Saskatchewan ☐ Inuvialuit Settlement Region ☐ Sahtu] Yukon
Type of Transboundary Implic	cation:	□ Development	
Public Concern(DESCRIBE)			
PHYSICAL - CHEMICAL EFFE IMPACT 1. Ground Water	CTS MITIGATION		CONDITION SECTION LOCATION 26(1)
water table alteration			
⊠ water quality changes	through the use of natu application. The contain drill holes with uranium handling and spill proto	or drill cuttings/fuel to enter the groundwat ural sumps identified in the Land Use Perm ment of drill cuttings, grouting and sealing of content greater than 1%, and following fu ocols should mitigate this concern. Sealin untered, should also mitigate this impact.	nit all el
☐ other			

2. Surface Water	MINGATION	LOCATION SECTION
☐ flow or level changes		
water quality changes	There is the potential for drill cuttings/fuel to enter surface waters through the use of natural sumps identified in the Land Use Permit application. The containment of drill cuttings, grouting and sealing of all drill holes with uranium content greater than 1%, following fuel handling and spill protocols and sealing artesian aquifers, when encountered, should mitigate this impact.	26(1)(d),(e),(g),(m)
water quantity changes		
☐ Drainage pattern changes		
☐ temperature		
wetland changes / loss		
other:		
□ N/A		
IMPACT 3. Noise	MITIGATION	CONDITION SECTION LOCATION 26(1)
⊠ noise in/near water	There is a possibility of some winter drilling on ice surfaces. The noise of this drilling activity is expected to be short term and reversible. No mitigation.	
☑ other: noise increase	Other increases in noise should be limited to the immediate location of a drill and to the periods when the drill is active or being moved by the helicopter. The impact is expected to be short term and reversible. LUP Conditions ensure that these temporary noise increases do not occur at times when caribou are present or migrating in the vicinity.	26(1)h)
□ N/A		
IMPACT 4. Land	MITIGATION	CONDITION SECTION LOCATION 26(1)
geologic structure changes		
Soil contamination	There is a potential for the contamination of surrounding lands resulting from drill operations and fuel use. The containment of drill cuttings and following fuel handling and spill protocols should mitigate this concern	26(1)(g), 26(1)(m)
☐ buffer zone loss		
☑ soil compaction & settling	Light vehicle, Timberjack and drill movements have the potential to create localized soil compaction. This impact is considered minor and reversible. It has been mitigated through LUP conditions	26(1)(f)
☐ Destabilization / erosion		
permafrost regime alteration		
other: explosives/scarring	AP.	
□ N/A		
IMPACT 5. Non Renewable Natural Resources	MITIGATION	CONDITION SECTION LOCATION 26(1)
resource depletion		
other:		
⊠ N/A		

IMPACT 6. Air/Climate/ Atmosphere	MITIGATION	CONDITION SECTION LOCATION26(1)
Other	There will be an increase in emissions from machinery operation in this area. This will be temporary and minimal. No mitigation.	
□ N/A		
BIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENT		
IMPACT 1. Vegetation	MITIGATION	CONDITION SECTION LOCATION 26(1)
species composition		
species introduction		
toxin / heavy accumulation		
other:		
⊠ N/A		
IMPACT 2. Wildlife & Fish	MITIGATION	CONDITION SECTION LOCATION 26(1)
☑ effects on rare, threatened or endangered species	Species at risk that may be encountered in this area include: Peregrine Falcon, Barren Ground Grizzly Bear, Wolverine and Short-eared Owl, all of which are either on or pending addition to Schedule 1 of the <i>Species at Risk Act</i> . While conducting their operations the proponent should be aware of the special status and minimize disturbance to, or contact with, these species. Minimizing activity when any rare or threatened species are present, or when migratory birds are present, should mitigate this potential impacts.	26(1)(h),(q)
fish population changes		
☐ waterfowl population changes		
☐ breeding disturbance		
population reduction		
species diversity change		
☐ health changes (Identify)		
☑ behavioural changes	Caribou and/or other wildlife may avoid the area due to drilling activity. Mitigation includes no harassment of wildlife and handling waste/garbage so as to avoid attracting wildlife. The ceasing of operations when wildlife, such as Caribou, is in the vicinity should mitigate this impact.	26(1)(h), (q)
☐ habitat changes / effects		
☑ game species effects	Some species, such as caribou, may avoid the vicinity of operation while drilling is occurring. This should be very localized and short term. Having the proponent cease operations when Caribou are in the immediate area of the operation should mitigate these impacts.	26(1)(h)
☑ toxins / heavy metals	Drill cuttings and/or muds associated with drilling have the potential to be used as a salt lick to bovines and ungulates. The exposure of the sumps to the atmosphere is controlled through Land Use Permit requirements of backfilling and restoration of the sumps upon project completion.	26(1)(d), 26(1)(o)
☐ forestry changes		
☐ agricultural changes		

other:			
□ N/A INTERAC	CTING ENVIRONMENT		
IMPACT 1.	Habitat and Communities	MITIGATION	CONDITION SECTION LOCATION 26(1)
☐ preda	tor-prey		
	e habitat / ecosystem tion changes	:	
_	tion / removal of or endangered		
⊠ remov buffer zo	val of wildlife corridor or ne	The project area is situated in an established migration route for Caribou and waterfowl. Land Use Permit conditions such as the ceasing operations when Caribou are in the immediate vicinity and the control of drilling and low-level flying at water crossings during migrations times attempt to minimize effects to Wildlife during migration times.	26(1)(h),(q)
other:		unes.	
□ N/A			
IMPACT 2.	Social and Economic	MITIGATION	CONDITION SECTION LOCATION 26(1)
☐ planni conflicts	ng / zoning changes or		
increa services	se in urban facilities or use		
☐ rental	house		
airport	t operations / capacity		
⊠ humai	n health hazard	There is a potential for drill cuttings and drill holes to contribute to an increased in exposure levels of uranium. Land Use Permit conditions in section 26(1)(d) such as the disposal of drill cuttings and mud with a uranium content higher than 0.05% into a drill hole, and the grouting of the upper 30 meters of any hole with a uranium content greater than 0.05% mitigate potential exposure concerns.	26(1)(d)
	the recreational use of aesthetic quality		
affect purposes	water use for other		
affect operation	other land use s		
⊠ quality	y of life changes	Public review comments received from Lutsel K'e Dene First Nation, Beverly and Qamanirjuaq Caribou Management Board, and Akaitcho Treaty #8 state that the direct and indirect cumulative impacts from this project and other exploration projects in Thelon Basin vicinity will have substantial impacts on the environment, wildlife, culture, and spirituality.	
⊠ public	concern	Public review comments received from Lutsel K'e Dene First Nation, Beverly and Qamanirjuaq Caribou Management Board, and Akaitcho Treaty #8 state that the direct and indirect cumulative impacts from this project and other exploration projects in Thelon Basin vicinity will have substantial impacts on the environment, wildlife, culture, and spirituality.	
other:			
□ N/A			

3. Cultural and Heritage	MITIGATION	LOCATION 26(1)
effects to historic property		
increased economic pressure on historic properties		
change to or loss of historic resources	:	
change to or loss of archaeological resources		
☑ increased pressure on archaeological sites	Land Use Permit Conditions will assist in mitigating impacts to unmapped historical, archaeological and burial sites.	26(1)(j)
change to or loss of aesthetically important site		
☑ effects to aboriginal lifestyle	The comments received from the Lutsel K'e Dene First Nation and Akaitcho Treaty #8 state that the cumulative impacts associated with all mineral exploration within the Thelon Basin will have a significant effect to the culture, spirituality and way of life to the Dene people in the area.	
☐ other:		
□ N/A		
NOTES:		

Consultation

- Pursuant to Section 1.6 (a) and (b) of the Akaitcho Territory Dene First Nations (ATDFN) Interim Measures
 Agreement, the MVLWB determined that written notice was given to the ATDFN and that a reasonable period of time
 was allowed for ATDFN to make representations with respect to the application
- Pursuant to Schedule 4.1 of the Northwest Territory Métis Nation (NWTMN) Interim Measures Agreement, the MVLWB determined that written notice was given to the NWTMN and that a reasonable period of time was allowed for NWTMN to make representations with respect to the application

 $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{V}}$

PRELIMINARY SCREENER / REFERRING BODY INFORMATION

ABORIGINAL ORGRANIZATIONS

Chief Schaefer	Salt River First Nations		867-872-3550
Chief Paulette	Smith Landing First Nation		867-872-5154
Chief Liske	Yellowknives Dene First Nation (Dettah)	29	873-5969
Chief Sangris	Yellowknives Dene First Nation (Ndilo)	30	873-8545
Chief Jonasson	Lutselk'e Dene First Nation	34	867-370-3010
Chief Norn	Deninu K'ue First Nation	48	867-394-5122
Director	Tli Cho Lands Protection Environment	22	867-392-6862
Camilla Zoe-Chocolate	Dene Nation	40	920-2254
Stephen Ellis	Akaitcho Treaty #8 Tribal Corporation Akaitcho IMA Implementation Office	52	867-370-3209
President Hudson	Fort Smith Métis Council		867-872-5225
President Jones	Northwest Territory Métis Nation	45	867-872-2772
President Cardinal	Fort Resolution Métis Council	53	867-394-3322
President Enge	North Slave Métis Alliance		669-7442
Robert Robillard	Athabasca Denesuliné Negotiation Team		306-763-2973

COMMUNITIES

Mayor McKay	Deninoo Community Council	•	867-394-5415
Mayor Martselos	Town of Fort Smith	28	867-872-8401

GOVERNMENT - GNWT

Tom Andrews	GNWT - PWNHC	60	873-0205
Mark Davy	GNWT - MACA	62	920-6343
Jason McNeill	GNWT - ENR	63	873-4021
Rhonda Batchelor	GNWT - DOT	64	920-2565
Duane Fleming	GNWT - Health	65	669-7517
Josephine Simms	GNWT - WCB	67	873-4596
Jeremy Jaud	GNWT - ITI		873-0645

GOVERNMENT - FEDERAL

Darnell McCurdy	South Mackenzie District Office	57	669-2720
Carolyn Relf	Mineral & Petroleum Resources Directorate	59	669-2705
Julie Jackson	Policy & Planning Directorate, INAC		669-2736
Mike Fournier	Environment Canada	66	873-8185
Ernest Watson	DFO	68	669-4940

OTHERS

Vern Christensen	MVEIRB	50	766-7074
Boyd Warner	Barrenground Caribou Outfitters		920-4263
Leslie Wakelyn	Beverly & Qamanirjuaq Caribou Mgt Board		wakelyn@theedge.ca

REASONS FOR DECISION

(LIST ALL REASONS AND SUPPORTING RATIONALES FOR PRELIMINARY SCREENING DECISION)

DECISION:

The Mackenzie Valley Land and Water Board (The Board) is satisfied that the preliminary screening of application MV2007C0009, Bayswater Uranium Corporation, Mineral Exploration has been completed in accordance with Section 125 of the *Mackenzie Valley Resource Management Act*.

The Board is satisfied that a reasonable period of notice was given to Communities and First Nations affected by the application as required by Subsection 63(2) of the MVRMA so that they could provide comments to the Board.

Having reviewed all relevant evidence on the Public Registry, including the submissions of the Applicant, the written comments received by the Board and any staff reports prepared for the Board, the Board has decided that in its opinion that:

- · the proposed development should not have a significant adverse impact on the environment; but
- the proposed development is a cause of public concern.

The Board is also of the opinion that the application can proceed through the regulatory process and that any impacts of the development on the environment can be mitigated through the imposition of the terms and conditions in the attached Land Use Permit.

As a result, the Board, having due regard to the facts and circumstances, the merits of the submissions made to it, and to the purpose, scope and intent of the *Mackenzie Valley Resource Management Act* and the Mackenzie Valley Land Use Regulations has decided that this Land Use Permit be issued subject to the terms and conditions contained therein.

	PRELIMINARY SCREENING DECISION
×	Outside Local Government Boundaries
	The development proposal might have a significant adverse impact on the environment, refer it to the EIRB.
	Proceed with regulatory process and/or implementation.
⊠	The development proposal might have public concern, refer it to the EIRB.
	Proceed with regulatory process and/or implementation.
	Wholly within Local Government Boundaries
	The development proposal is likely to have a significant adverse impact on air, water or renewable resources, refer it to the EIRB.
	Proceed with regulatory process and/or implementation.
	The development proposal might have public concern, refer it to the EIRB.
	Proceed with regulatory process and/or implementation.

Preliminary Screening Organization	Signatures	Λ
Mackenzie Valley Land and Water Board		
		\
August 23, 2007	**************************************	

238