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## Submitted to:



Mackenvie Valley Land and Water Board 74 Floor - 4910 S0th Avenue P.O. Box 2130 YELLOWKNIFE NT X1A 2P6 Phone (867) 669-0506 FAX (867) 873-6610

## Submitted by:



Mevinuget memorimian

## Town of Hay River

73 Woodland Drive Hay River, NT X0E 1G1
Phone: (867) 874-6533
FAX: (867) 874-3237

April 16, 2004
Mackenzie Valley Land \& Water Board
$7^{\text {th }}$ Floor $-491050^{\text {th }}$ Avenue
P. O. Box 2130

Yellowknife NT X1A 2P6

## Town of Hay River Water Licence N1L4-0053 Hay River Hydrocarbon Treatment Facility

Dear Ms. McLeod:

Please accept the attached application for amendment to out Municipal Water Licence N1L4-0053. This application is for an amendment to include under the above referenced water licence the operation of a Biotreatment Pad at our existing landfill site, or in more common terms the operation of an engineered landfarm.

The development of this facility was in response to several concerns the Town of Hay River has had to deal with in the past several years, uncontrolled landfarming within our town; and a source of cover for our landfill to allow us to keep utilizing the existing landfill site until such a time another site can be developed.

With respect to landfarming, the practice of landfarming has been used in this area as a effective method of treating contaminated soil and at present there are several active landfarms within Hay River. These sites are not engineered to collect run-off or leachate which eventually makes its way into the natural environment. With the increase tanker traffic and resource development this practice was expected to go on in this area at a much greater frequency. With the development of this pad and the amendment of the Zoning and Building Bylaw the Town of Hay River has prohibited this activity, except at the Town of Hay River Biotreatment Pad. This effectively eliminates the environmental risk associated with this activity with the Town of Hay River.

It is no secret that the Town of Hay River is struggling with a rapid growth rate and no accessible land to develop, this includes land required for the development of a New Municipal Waste Management Site. Until these issues are resolved we are forced to continue to use the existing site, and with no space left for below grade landfill cells we are forced to consider aboveground cells, a practice that will require significant amounts of cover material. This material will be available as treated material from the Biotreatment Pad.

The Town of Hay River proposes using the strictest controls for the operation of this pad. All material will be tested by a third party accredited laboratory, random grad samples will be taken for verification, and there is an allowable limit of zero with respect to PCBs. As part of our annual report the Town is proposing a summary of all material accepted along with analytical results detailing type and extent of contamination. It also should be noted that the Town of Hay River in the operation of this pad accepts no responsibility for the generation and transportation of this material, presumably the generators will be diligent and obtain the appropriate licence from the Board for the regulation of activities that generate this waste when required.

The Town recognizes that we are applying for this Licence after the fact as this facility has already been constructed. We acknowledge the misinterpretation of the relevant Acts that led to this situation. As outlined in previous correspondence, the Town of Hay River as in no way tried to mislead the board through this development and has always made every attempt to comply with the conditions of our water licence.

With respect to the evaluation of this application the Town of Hay River is asking the Board to take into consideration the following:

1. The activity being considered is already practiced throughout this area without the strict controls proposed for this facility and without the knowledge and approval of the board. By developing this facility we are seeking to limit this practice and this amendment will provide a means for the board be made aware of this waste to the extent that it is treated at the Town of Hay River facility.
2. In the essence of a quick resolution to this amendment process and given that this application and development meets all conditions of the Northwest Territories Waters Act, Section 21-1(b), the Town of Hay river is requesting that the Board consider forgoing the public hearing process as permitted under this section. As outlined in the application document there has already been an extensive public process with respect to this development.

I hope the attached is to your satisfaction, if you require any further information please feel free to contact me.


Todd Pittman
Director of Public Works \& Planning
42 TOTAL $\frac{30.00}{30.00}$

30 Dollars and 00 Cents.

RECEIVER GENERAL FOR CANADA
NT


॥ 508

Mackenzie Valley Land and Water Board 7th Floor - 4910 50th Avenue P.O. Box 2130

YELLOWKNIFE NT X1A 2P6
Phone (867) 669-0506
FAX (867) 873-6610

## APPLICATION FOR A NEW WATER LICENCE OR AMENDMENT OF EXISTING LICENCE.

Application/License No: N1L3-0053
(amendment)

1. Name and Mailing Address of Applicant
Town of Hay River
73 Woodland Drive
Hay River, NT X0E 1G1
Telephone: $\qquad$
Fax: $\qquad$ (867) 874-3237
2. Address of Head Office in Canada if Incorporate
Same as mailing address
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Telephone: $\qquad$
Fax:
3. Location of Undertaking (describe and attach a map, indicating watercourses and location of any proposed waste deposits).
Latitude $60^{\circ} 45.553^{\prime}$
4. Description of Undertaking (describe and attach plans)

Construction of Bio-treatment Facility for the treatment of petroleum contaminated soil at the Hay River Municipal Landfill.
5. Type of Undertaking.

1. Industrial $\qquad$
2. Mining and Milling $\qquad$
3. Municipal $\qquad$
$\qquad$
4. Agriculture
5. Conservation
6. Recreation
7. Miscellaneous

都
6. Water Use

To obtain water

To cross a watercourse
To modify the bed or bank of a watercourse

Flood control
To divert water
To alter the flow of, or store water

Other (describe):There is no water use associated with this development.
7. Quantity of water involved (litres per second, litres per day or cubic meter per year, including both quantity to be used and quality to be returned to source.

Not applicable as there is no water use.
8. Waste deposited (quanitiy, quality, treatment and disposal)

This facility will permit the treatment of up to 11,000 tonnes of hydrocarbon contaminated material at any one time. Given the short season it is not anticipated that this amount will be exceeded in any year.
9. Other persons or properties affected by this Undertaking (give name, mailing address and location. Attach a list if necessary.

This facility will not affect or impact any adjacent properties, a letter is attached from the GNWT that owns the closest propery adjacent to the development outlining they have no objection to the development.
10. Predicted environmental impacts of Undertaking and proposed mitigation.

This development has been designed to have a no negative environmental impact:and it can be argued that is will have a net positive environmental impact. The pad is designed to collect all run-off to be removed for treatment. The pad is built at the existing dump site such that no de-forestation is required was required. In conjunction with this development the Town of Hay River has prohibited this same activity which had gone on within the community without proper control of potentially hazardous run-off impacting and the impact on adjacent watercourses

In addition, the material produced as a result of this operation will provide a source of daily cover that will limit wind blown debris associated with the municipal landfill as well as cut down on the nuisance vector activities (Bears, Ravens etc.) and the negative affects that feeding off landfills are shown to have on wildlife.
11. Contractors and sub-contractors (names, addresses and functions). Attach a list if necessary.

Wasteworks 201, 5720-4 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street, S. E., Calgary AB T2H 1K7
Contact: Brad Chapman/Phone: 403-297-0456 Fax: 403-216-1671
12. Studies undertaken to date. Attach a list if necessary.

No studies were undertaken with respect to this development.
13. Proposed time schedule.

Start date: Upon Approval Completion date: $\qquad$

Name (print): Todd Pittman
Signature:
Title (print):
Director of Public Works \& Planning $\qquad$
Date:
March 11, 2004

## FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

## Please make all cheques payable to "Receiver General of Canada"

## Application Fee Amount:

\$ $\qquad$ Receipt No: $\qquad$

Water Use Deposit Amount: \$ $\qquad$ Receipt No: $\qquad$

## Chronology of Public Presentation of Development of Biopad.

January 30, 2003 - Committee of the Whole Meeting Agenda for February 3, 2003 posted for public notice.

February 3, 2003-Committee of the Whole Meeting
February 6, 2003 - Regular Meeting of Council Agenda for February 10, 2003, posted for public notice.

February 10, 2003 - Regular Meeting of Council
February 5, 2003 - Article "Hazco offers waste plan that would take chicken manure and make cash", The HUB.

February 19, 2003 - Letter to the editor, "Beware of landfill Co.", The HUB.
April 3, 2003-Committee of the Whole Meeting Agenda for April 7, 2003 posted for public notice.

April 7, 2003 - Committee of the Whole Meeting
April 10, 2003 -Regular Meeting of Council Agenda for April 14, 2003 posted for public notice.

April 14, 2003 - Meeting of Council
August 20, 2003 - Article " Hazco project ready", The HUB.
October 10, 2003 - Regular Meeting of Council for October 14, 2003 posted for public notice.

October 14, 2003 - Meeting of Council
www.hayriver.com - The Town of Hay River website has used to post and maintain an electronic archive of Town Council agenda, and minutes of Meeting of council. All the agendas and minutes for the meeting referenced above are posted on the website for public reference.

Channel 5 - Meetings of Council and Committee of the Whole are televised on the local channel. All the meetings referenced would have been televised live to the public.

## AGENDA

## 1. CALL TO ORDER

## 2. ANNOUNCEMENTS

3. ADOPTION OF AGENDA

## 4. DECLARATION OF INTEREST

5. DELEGATION

HAZCO
6. Hazco - Discussion Item
7. Public Works Department Monthly Report
8. Public Works Department/Building \& Development Report
9. Municipal Enforcement Reports
10. Recreation Department Monthly Reports
11. Traffic Committee Meeting Minutes
12. Land Sales Incentive Program
13. Information Listed
a) InfoFacts - Volume 5, Issue 2 - The Honorable Ethel-Blondin Andrew - Member of Parliament - National Non-Smoking Week, January 1925, 2003
b) ConocoPhillips - Community Update - ConocoPhillips Sells The Steen River Gas Plant
14. IN CAMERA
15. ADJOURNMENT
and further
That the Committee report back to Council at its earliest convenience

Respectfully submitted
John D Pollard

## TOWN OF HAY RIVER

## REPORT TO COMMITTEE

SUBJECT: HAZCO - LETTER OF INTEREST
DATE: February 3, 2003

## Background

Late last year the Town was approached by Hazco Environmental Services regarding waste treatment at the Hay River solid waste facility.

Shortly after, members of Council and Administration met informally with Mr. Brad Chapman from Hazco to discuss further Hazco's proposal.

Mr. Chapman was advised to send information to the Town outlining possible scenarios and revenue streams.

The attached correspondence outlines the progress made so far.

## Comments

Inasmuch as there needs to be more investigation of this proposal, at first blush it seems to fit well with the Towns plans e.g.

We are running out of capacity at our existing landfill site
When we leave the existing site we will require fill to cover parts if not all of the existing site

We will be recommending that a new site recycle as much material as possible
We will be recommending that a new site also handle the composting of chicken manure

Hazco's proposal helps deal with a number of these issues not to mention the potential revenues, which would help, offset the cost of exiting our old site

## RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that a Committee of Council be struck to further investigate Hazco's proposal
and further
That the Committee and the Director of Public Works travel to a Hazco facility to see first hand how these facilities operate

## AGENDA

## 1. CALL TO ORDER

## 2. ANNOUNCEMENTS

3. ADOPTION OF AGENDA
4. DECLARATION OF INTEREST
5. DELEGATION

HAZCO
6. Hazco - Discussion Item
7. Public Works Department Monthly Report
8. Public Works Department/Building \& Development Report
9. Municipal Enforcement Reports
10. Recreation Department Monthly Reports
11. Traffic Committee Meeting Minutes
12. Land Sales Incentive Program
13. Information Listed
a) InfoFacts - Volume 5, Issue 2 - The Honorable Ethel-Blondin Andrew - Member of Parliament - National Non-Smoking Week, January 1925, 2003
b) ConocoPhillips - Community Update - ConocoPhillips Sells The Steen River Gas Plant
14. ADJOURNMENT

## AGENDA

## 1. CALL TO ORDER

## 2. ANNOUNCEMENTS

## 3. ADOPTION OF AGENDA

## 4. DECLARATION OF INTEREST

5. PRESENTATION
6. MINUTES
a) Minutes of the Regular Meeting of Council, January 20, 2003
b) Minutes of the Special Meeting of Council, January 23, 2003

## 7. BUSINESS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES

8. LIST OF ACCOUNTS
9. COMMITTEE REPORTS
a) Hazco - Letter of Interest
b) Public Works Department Monthly Report
c) Public Works Department/Building \& Development Report
d) Municipal Enforcement Monthly Report
e) Recreation Department Monthly Reports
f) Traffic Committee Meeting Minutes
g) Land Sales Incentive Program
10. BY-LAWS
a) By-Law No. 1786B/UTIL/01 - Trucked Water Rate Increase - First and Second Reading
b) By-Law No. 1811/ADMIN/02 - General Plan - Third and Final Reading
c) By-Law No. 1812/ADMIN/02 - Zoning \& Building - Third and Final Reading
d) By-Law No. 1828/BORR/03 - Borrowing for the Construction of a New Aquatic Facility - First and Second Reading
11. NEW BUSINESS
a) Excused Absence
b) Bingo Lottery Licensing
12. ITEMS LISTED FOR THE INFORMATION OF COUNCIL
a) Items Listed For The Information of Council from February 3, 2003 Committee Meeting
b) Letter - NWT Association of Communities - Re: Pre-budget Consultation with the Honorable John Manley, Deputy Prime Minister and Minister of Finance - January 24, 2003
c) Conference - The Conference Board of Canada - Re: Find out how taking a strategic approach to mining agreements can move your projects forward - January 2003
d) Letter - Municipal and Community Affairs - Re: NWT Outstanding Volunteer Awards Program Nomination Deadline March 15, 2003 February 2003
13. ADJOURNMENT

## TOWN OF HAY RIVER

## REPORT TO COMMITTEE

SUBJECT: HAZCO - LETTER OF INTEREST
DATE: $\quad$ February 3, 2003

## Background

Late last year the Town was approached by Hazco Environmental Services regarding waste treatment at the Hay River solid waste facility.

Shortly after, members of Council and Administration met informally with Mr. Brad Chapman from Hazco to discuss further Hazco's proposal.

Mr. Chapman was advised to send information to the Town outlining possible scenarios and revenue streams.

The attached correspondence outlines the progress made so far.

## Comments

Inasmuch as there needs to be more investigation of this proposal, at first blush it seems to fit well with the Towns plans e.g.

We are running out of capacity at our existing landfill site
When we leave the existing site we will require fill to cover parts if not all of the existing site

We will be recommending that a new site recycle as much material as possible We will be recommending that a new site also handle the composting of chicken manure

Hazco's proposal helps deal with a number of these issues not to mention the potential revenues, which would help, offset the cost of exiting our old site

MOVED BY: CLLR MIKE MAHER SECONDED BY: CLLR EHMAN

It is recommended that a Committee of Council be struck to further investigate Hazco's proposal

CARRIED

## MOVED BY: CLLR MIKE MAHER

SECONDED BY: CLLR EHMAN
That the Committee and the Director of Public Works travel to a Hazco facility to see first hand how these facilities operate

CARRIED
MOVED BY: CLLR MIKE MAHER
SECONDED BY: CLLR EHMAN
That the Committee report back to Council at its earliest convenience
CARRIED
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## Town split on lowering speed limit
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## Hazco offers waste plan that would take chicken manure and make cash
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## Jail＇s firewood program axed，restored
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though it's critical. That's because feedback on the news or how we portray it is a key part of any community newspaper.
But frankly, we're a little disappointed with the rest of you.
We know for a fact that, in coffee shops, restaurants and on the street chat the story to which Duane refers was a hot tople. Everybody was talking about it. Unfortunately, no one else was writing about it.

Letters to the editor are a key part of any community newspaper. It's how your voice is heard. It's one of the many ways we're kept accountable to you, our readers. After all, how many business do you know that allow, even encourage, people to offer their criticisms, and then publish those publicy??

So if you feel we were tasteless and tactless in our coverage of that subject we need to hear it.

And, yes, If you think we did a good job of bringing to light a subject that many people are too shy to talk about, we'd like to hear that, too.

While your phone calls and comments on the street are important, your written comments not only force us to take note, but also show the entire community your viewpoint.

We recognize that our view is certainly not the be all and end all of Hay River opinion, thought, or viewpoint.

Yours counts even more.

## : nice

tor:
dy hope I am not the first person to lissatisfaction concerning the choice ge news in the Feb. 12 issue of The previously assumed (my fault for that your paper was more tactul. cle (Hay River a hot market for spicy therapist) was truly tasteless and it have made the back page of The Hub. Duane Mackie

## Beware of landfill co.

To the editor:
I have just recently read in The Hub regarding Hazco (seeking to) operate a landfill in the Hay River area and turn chicken manure into cash.

I would just like to warn the community about this company and urge you to research into them before signing any deal. I have recently been to other communities where they have done this type of set up.

It is like the old saying if something is to

good to be true. I am a resident of the Yukon but feel as if the NWT are any neighbors and caution them to research this Company and not just visit one of their set ups but to inquire about all of them.

Jackie Kane Whitehorse, Yukon

don't remember out know from WHMIS nix some cleaning prodnakes ammonia gas.

Joremy Gordon, 12: 1 made a volcano for a fair. The pressure pushes the lava out.

What did you learn from your last science fair project?


Drew Dallby: I did a project in Grade 4. The only thing I remember is letting the other guy do most of the work.


Dan Prarila: I did a project on dinosaurs. I learned that in movies they tend to overexaggerate everything about them.

## AGENDA

## 1. CALL TO ORDER

2. ANNOUNCEMENTS
a) Parks Canada - Price increases that Parks Canada are proposing to implement this year

## 3. ADOPTION OF AGENDA

4. DECLARATION OF INTEREST
5. DELEGATION
6. Excused Absence
7. Public Works Department/Building \& Development Report
8. Municipal Enforcement Report
9. Recreation Department Monthly Report
10. Recreation Board Meeting Minutes
11. Lottery Licensing
12. HAZCO
13. Career Symposium Request
14. Information Listed
a) Information - Northwest Territories Housing Corporation - Re: Northwest Territories Housing Corporation's 2000/2001 Annual Report - March 6, 2003
b) Commentary - Northwest Territories Association of Communities - Re: Topics discussed at recent FCM Board of Directors meeting - March 18, 2003
c) News Release - Thcho Agreement Completed - March 19, 2003
d) Letter - Mackenzie Northern Railway - Re: Mackenzie Northern Railway - Infrastructure Upgrade Plans - March 22, 2003
e) Release - Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation - Re: CHMC Develop Online Guide to Renting a Home - March 25, 2003
f) Newsletter - Ekati Diamond Mine - Issue 14 - March 2003
g) Letter - Health Canada - Re: Legislation to Modernize Pesticide Legislation and Protect Canadians Receives Royal Assent - March 2003
15. ADJOURNMENT

## TOWN OF HAY RIVER

## REPORT TO COMMITTEE

SUBJECT: HAZCO REFERENCE CHECK
DATE: April 7, 2003
Attached is a report of the reference check on Hazco Environmental Services Ltd. conducted by Mr. Todd Pittman.

Administration is satisfied that Hazco is a reputable company with an excellent professional record.

Therefore it is recommended that;
The Council of the Town of Hay River instructs administration to negotiate an agreement with Hazco, its subsidiary or affiliate, for the construction, operation and marketing of a soil remediation pad and the profit sharing associated with the same. Such pad to be located at the present Hay River landfill site.

And further
That the agreement when reached be brought before the Hay River Town Council for deliberation.

Respectfully submitted
John D Pollard

## AGENDA

## 1. CALL TO ORDER

## 2. ANNOUNCEMENTS

3. ADOPTION OF AGENDA

## 4. DECLARATION OF INTEREST

## 5. PRESENTATION

Certificate of Appreciation - John Milovac

## 6. MINUTES

a) Minutes of the Regular Meeting of Council, March 17, 2003
b) Minutes of the Special Meeting of Council, March 31, 2003
7. BUSINESS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES
8. LIST OF ACCOUNTS
9. COMMITTEE REPORTS
a) Excused Absence
b) Public Works Department/Building \& Development Report
c) Municipal Enforcement Report
d) Recreation Department Monthly Report
e) Recreation Board Meeting Minutes
f) Lottery Licensing
g) HAZCO
h) Career Symposium Request
10. BY-LAWS
a) By-Law No. 1831/LND/03 - Sale of Lot 1599, Plan 2218 to James M. and Nadine Leblanc - Third and Final Reading
b) By-law No. 1832/LND/03 - Sale of Lot 1600, Plan 2218 to Wayne And Arla M. Pringle - Third and Final Reading
c) By-Law No. 1834/LND/03 - Sale of Lot 1540, Plan 2112 to Wayne C. and Charlene F. Lafferty - First and Second Reading
d) By-Law No. 1835/LND/03 - Sale of Lot 1611, Plan 2218 to NWT Housing Corporation - First and Second Reading
e) By-Law No. 1836/LND/03 - Acquisition of Lot 6, Block XE, Plan 189, Hay River - First and Second Reading

## 11. NEW BUSINESS

a) Excused Absence
b) Break-Up Update
12. ITEMS LISTED FOR THE INFORMATION OF COUNCIL
a) Information Listed from the Committee of the Whole Meeting, April 7, 2003
b) Newsletter - Federation of Canadian Municipalities - Re: FC Urges Municipal Governments to Participate in National Antenna Tower Policy Review - April 4, 2003
13. ADJOURNMENT

## TOWN OF HAY RIVER

## REPORT TO COMMITTEE

SUBJECT: HAZCO REFERENCE CHECK
DATE: April 7, 2003
Attached is a report of the reference check on Hazco Environmental Services Ltd. conducted by Mr. Todd Pittman.

Administration is satisfied that Hazco is a reputable company with an excellent professional record.

MOVED BY: CLLR PETER MAHER
SECONDED BY: CLLR MIKE MAHER
That the Council of the Town of Hay River instructs administration to negotiate an agreement with Hazco, its subsidiary or affiliate, for the construction, operation and marketing of a soil remediation pad and the profit sharing associated with the same. Such pad to be located at the present Hay River landfill site.

And that the agreement when reached be brought before the Hay River Town Council for deliberation.

CARRIED
3237
Att：Todd Pittman


## AGENDA

## 1. CALL TO ORDER

## 2. ANNOUNCEMENTS

## 3. ADOPTION OF AGENDA

## 4. DECLARATION OF INTEREST

## 5. PRESENTATION

a) Certificate of Appreciation - Lori Uhlenberg
b) Mike Frasher - Frasher \& Associates - Re: New Aquatic Centre
6. MINUTES
a) Minutes of the Regular Meeting of Council, September 8, 2003
b) Minutes of the Special Meeting of Council, September 15, 2003
c) Minutes of the Special Meeting of Council, September 22, 2003

## 7. BUSINESS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES

8. LIST OF ACCOUNTS
9. COMMITTEE REPORTS
a) Excused Absence
b) Municipal Enforcement Department Reports
c) Public Works Building/Development Reports
d) Recreation Department Reports
e) Request for Proposals for Financial Requirements and Services
f) Public Tender - 2003 Chipseal program

## 10. BY-LAWS

a) By-Law No. 1530B/TR/03 - Amend Traffic Control Device Register First and Second Reading
b) By-Law No. 1811A/ADMIN/02 - General Plan Amendment - 553 First Reading
c) By-Law No. 1811B/ADMIN/02 - General Plan Amendment K'altodeeche First Nation - First Reading
d) By-law No. 1812A/ADMIN/02 - Zoning and Building Amendment 553 - First Reading
e) By-Law No. 1812B/ADMIN/02 - Zoning and Building Amendment Text Amendment - First Reading
f) By-Law No. 1853/LND/03 - Sale of Lot 1437, Plan 1466 to Scott D. Farrell - Third and Final Reading
g) By-Law No. 1854/RC/03 - Road Closure 553 - First and Second Reading
h) By-Law No. 1855/LND/03 - Sale of Lot 1737, Plan 2701 to Champ Construction Ltd. - First and Second Reading
i) By-Law No. 1856/LND/03 - Sale of Lot 1738, Plan 2701 to Champ Construction Ltd - First and Second Reading
j) By-Law No. 1857/LND/03 - Sale of Lot 1743, Plan 2701 to Tom and Maria Tetrault - First and Second Reading
11. NEW BUSINESS
a) Award of Architectural and Design Services - New Aquatic Center
b) HAZCOMNASTEWORKS Agreement
c) Negotiator to Represent Hay River - Deh Cho Process \& NWT Metis Nation Land Claims
12. ITEMS LISTED FOR THE INFORMATION OF COUNCIL
a) Items Listed for the Information of Council from October 4, 2003 Committee of the Whole Meeting
b) Newsletter - Status of Women's Council - Re: Prevention and Awareness of HIV/Hep C - Fall 2003
c) Letter - Edmonton Chamber of Commerce - Re: Thank you for participating in Meet the North Conference
d) News Release - Office of the Premier and Executive Council - Re: Minister Appoints Public Administrator for Sahtu Health and Social Services Authority
e) News Release - Office of the Premier and Executive Council - Re: "Northern Gold" The Sharon Anne Firth Story"
f) News Releae - Office of the Premier and Executive Council - Re: GNWT takes Action on Official Languages
g) News Release - Office of the Premier and Executive Council - Re: Kakfwi Reports Progress with De Beers
h) NWT Association of Communities - Re: FCM Conference Windsor Ontario, September 3-6, 2003
i) News Release - Office of the Premier and Executive Council - Re: Kakfwi Wraps up Southern Tour
j) News Release - Office of the Premier and Executive Council - Re: NWT Fire Service Merit Awards Announced
k) PDAC Activities and Canadian Exploration News - Re: PDAC in Brief

## 13. ADJOURNMENT

## TOWN OF HAY RIVER

## REPORT TO COUNCIL

SUBJECT: HAZCONNASTEWORKS AGREEMENT
DATE: October 14, 2003

## Background

On April 14, 2003 Council passed the following motions:

1. It is recommended that a Committee of Council be struck to further investigate Hazco's proposal.
2. The Council of the Town of Hay Rive instructs administration to negotiate an agreement with Hazco, its subsidiary or affiliate, for the construction, operation and marketing of a soil remediation pad and the profit sharing associated with the same. Such pad to be located at the present Hay River landfill site.

## And further

That the agreement when reached be brought before the Hay River Town Council for deliberation.

## Comments

A Committee comprising Councilor Peter Maher (Chair), Councilor Ehman and Councilor Mike Maher has guided administration through the negotiation process.

Attached is the proposed agreement between the Town of Hay River and Wasteworks, a subsidiary of Hazco.

## MOVED BY: CLLR PETER MAHER <br> SECONDED BY: ASST DEPUTY MAYOR TORDOFF

That the Council of the Town of Hay River authorize the signing of the proposed agreement.

## Waste Approval and Characterization

## Introduction

Generators interested in disposing of waste must complete the waste approval process and submit documentation and laboratory analysis representing their waste to the WasteWorks Approvals Group. The information must be reviewed and approved by WasteWorks and by the designated representative of the municipal partner before a waste approval code is issued and the generator is given permission to haul the material to the facility.

## Approvals process

Outlined below is a description of the approvals process:
$>$ Customer (generator) completes and signs a WasteWorks Waste Approval Application (sample attached) and submits the application along with required supporting information and analytical data. The information collected includes:
$>$ Generator Name / Location / Contact Personnel
$>$ Waste Type / Description / Volumes / Quantities
$>$ Physical Properties / Chemical Characteristics
$>$ Sample Certification/Laboratory Reports / Material Safety Data Sheets
$>$ Generator Certification.
$>$ WasteWorks Approvals Group reviews the information and approves or rejects waste based on the information provided. If information is missing or more details or analysis are required to make an accurate assessment, the generator will be contacted to provide it.
$>$ If the generator provides all of the information required to make a satisfactory determination that the waste offered for disposal or bioremediation is acceptable at the facility, WasteWorks approves the application and forwards the documentation to the designated representative of the partner municipality.
$>$ Upon receiving final approval from the partner municipality, WasteWorks issues the customer a Waste Approval Code that is unique to the generator, the waste stream and the location.
$>$ WasteWorks Waste Manifests are supplied to the customer. One manifest must accompany every load; the manifest must contain the Waste Approval Code and a description of the waste.
$>$ The waste is received at the facility, and the location of the waste is recorded using a grid co-ordinate system.
Waste Approvals are issued for a period of one (1) year. Each load is subject to the Waste Screening program in place at the facility.

## Waste Approval Application

The waste approval application (WAA) is a two-page form that must be completed by the generator or their designated representative prior to having waste approved for bioremediation or disposal. The application may be obtained from either the Sales Group at HAZCO, or the Landfill Approvals Group at WasteWorks.


Section 1: Generator information. The location from which the waste is being shipped, the generator of the waste, and the primary contact for billing information must be recorded in this section.

Section 2: Waste Characterization. The process generating the waste should refer to potential sources of contamination, or historic operations (e.g. "spill clean up from crude pipeline break", or "catalyst used in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ removal from natural gas").

Section 3: Physical Properties. Though the information from this section may also be contained in the laboratory report on the waste, the generator must still fill in the applicable answers to the best of their knowledge. The physical properties of the waste must represent the properties of the waste at room temperature (e.g. ice and snow should be recorded as "liquids"). The table in section 3 is used to identify the major components

/ contaminants in the waste stream offered for disposal (e.g. Diesel: ~5 \%, Sawdust: 10 $\%-15 \%$, Soil: $80 \%-85 \%$ ).

Section 4: Waste Classification. If the waste offered for disposal is regulated under TDG or under another applicable provincial jurisdiction, the generator should record the information here.

Section 5: Attachments. Please refer to all supporting documentation (lab reports, material safety data sheets, and / or process knowledge letters).

Section 6: Sample Certification. The representative responsible for sampling the waste stream (or their designate) must complete and sign this section. In addition, it provides an opportunity to identify the type of sample taken (grab, composite, etc...).

Section 7: Generator's Certification. The generator or their representative should review the entire application and ensure that it is complete and accurate. In the event that the information provided in the WAA does not resemble the waste that arrives at the landfill, the generator will be immediately contacted for clarification.

## WasteWorks Waste Manifest

The WasteWorks Waste Manifest is a multi-part document used to transport nonhazardous and non-dangerous waste for disposal or bioremediation. A completed manifest must accompany each shipment of waste to the facility. The generator or their on-site representative is responsible for ensuring that the manifest is completed with the correct information, and that it is signed prior to dispatching the load to the site.


The transporter must sign Section II of the top copy prior to dispatching the load.

The operator at the facility who receives the waste will sign and date section III of the manifest. The landfill also completes the Gross, Net and Tare sections.

The generator will receive completed copies of each manifest as back up for the invoice for disposal.

In addition, the transporter will receive completed copies with the net weight for each load delivered to the facility. Scale ticket printouts from the computer at the scale will be stapled to the copies for the transporter and the generator.

To arrange for blank or pre-printed manifests, please contact the Sales Group. With sufficient notice, manifests may be sent to the generator's site, or arranged for pick up at the scalehouse at the facility.


Waste Acceptance and Approval Procedure



Hay River Horticultural Society
c/o 27 McBryan Drive
Hay River, NT. XOE OR3
Feb. 10, 2003

Town of Hay River
73 Woodland Drive
Hay River, NT. XOE 1G1
To Mayor and Council:

We wish to convey our appreciation for your involvement and interest in the environmental project written about in the February 5th edition of The Hub. We offer our support to any efforts of recycling and beneficial land use. At our February 9th meeting, we discussed our willingness to help if you might possibly require our services in implementing such programs to our town. Thank you for your commitment to "making our town a better place in which to live"!

Yours very sincerely,


Lynne Ashton, Secretary



76 Capital Drive, Suite 201
HAY RIVER, NT XOE 1 G2
March 1, 2004

Mr. Todd Pittman<br>Director, Public Works<br>Town of Hay River<br>73 Woodland Drive<br>HAY RIVER, NT XOE 1G1

Dear Mr. Pittman:

## Bio-Treatment Site

Recently, the Town of Hay River constructed a bio-treatment site for contaminated soils within the Town's land fill area along Hwy \#5 and abutting the land we use for granular and road salt storage at kilometer 1.9, Hwy \#5.

The creation of this new bio-treatment facility is a welcome development for the disposal and treatment of contaminated soils in the region and, as abutting land users, we are in agreement with its location.

As operators of the public transportation system, we also applaud the Town's initiative in developing this new facility to support and encourage treatment of contaminated soils that do occur occasionally within the public transportation system.

Yours truly,

c. George Childs, Assistant Director

Department of Transportation, Hwy Operations

April 16, 2004

Chief Karen Thomas-Felker<br>West Point First Nation<br>47031 Mackenzie Highway<br>Hay River, NT XOE OR9

Re: Town of Hay River Municipal Landfill/Development of Bio-Treatment Facility

## Dear Chief Thomas-Felker:

As part of the requirement for submission of the application of amendment to the Town of Hay River Water License \# N1L4-0053, the Town of Hay River would like to advise the West Point First Nation of the above referenced development at the Municipal Landfill.

This development is in response to the increase in petroleum related activity within our community and the frequent spills that are associated with this activity. To date, contaminated soil associated with these spills have gone untreated and have been placed in land farms with no run-off collection or other measures to ensure this material does not cause additional damage to the environment. The Town of Hay River has now undertaken to develop a Bio-Treatment Pad (engineered land farm), that will allow contaminated material to be isolated from the surrounding environment during treatment by an impermeable liner and runoff to be collected for treatment to remove contaminates that would otherwise enter the environment. In addition the Town is proposing a comprehensive test program that will include testing as follows:

- Testing to characterized type and extent to contamination prior to acceptance.
- Testing to verify the type and nature of the material when it is received at the site.
- And periodic testing to assess progress of treatment.

The treated material will then be utilized as cover for landfill operations and will reduce the amount of windblown debris generated by the landfill into the surrounding environment.

In conjunction with this development the Council of the Town of Hay River has amended By-Law \#1812, Zoning and Building By-Law to formally prohibit land farming of contaminated soil within the Town of Hay River boundaries other than at this facility.

With this development and the associated changes in legislation, the Council of the Town of Hay River has taken a proactive approach in ensuring the environment we share remains healthy amidst the continued development of northern resources.

If you have any questions related to the above, please feel free to contact me.
Sincerely,


Diana Ehman

April 16, 2004

Chief Roy Fabien
K'altodeeche First Nation
P.O. Box 3060

Hay River, NT XOE 1 G3
Re: Town of Hay River Municipal Landfill/Development of Bio-Treatment Facility

## Dear Chief Fabien:

As part of the requirement for submission of the application of amendment to the Town of Hay River Water License \# N1L4-0053, the Town of Hay River would like to advise the West Point First Nation of the above referenced development at the Municipal Landfill.

This development is in response to the increase in petroleum related activity within our community and the frequent spills that are associated with this activity. To date, contaminated soil associated with these spills have gone untreated and have been placed in land farms with no run-off collection or other measures to ensure this material does not cause additional damage to the environment. The Town of Hay River has now undertaken to develop a Bio-Treatment Pad (engineered land farm), that will allow contaminated material to be isolated from the surrounding environment during treatment by an impermeable liner and runoff to be collected for treatment to remove contaminates that would otherwise enter the environment. In addition the Town is proposing a comprehensive test program that will include testing as follows:

- Testing to characterized type and extent to contamination prior to acceptance.
- Testing to verify the type and nature of the material when it is received at the site.
- And periodic testing to assess progress of treatment.

The treated material will then be utilized as cover for landfill operations and will reduce the amount of windblown debris generated by the landfill into the surrounding environment.

In conjunction with this development the Council of the Town of Hay River has amended By-Law \#1812, Zoning and Building By-Law to formally prohibit land farming of contaminated soil within the Town of Hay River boundaries other than at this facility.

With this development and the associated changes in legislation, the Council of the Town of Hay River has taken a proactive approach in ensuring the environment we share remains healthy amidst the continued development of northern resources.

If you have any questions related to the above, please feel free to contact me.
Sincerely


Diana Ehman

## Geotechnical Evaluation of the Site:

In evaluating the suitability of the site chosen for the treatment pad. The following information was utilized:

1. Geotechnical information gathered with regard to soil type from the EBA Investigation carried out in 1999.
2. Historical information provided by the operator of the site.
3. Information gathered by M. M. Dillon in the report compiled in 1996. "Innovations to Maintain Existing landfill Site"
4. Test pits dug prior to the start of construction in July 2004.

Since the allowable bearing pressure of the natural silty clay in the Hay River and as exist at the site as determined in the 1999 EBA Report are generally in the area of 120 kPa , in excess of this might result in deflections that may be detrimental to the integrity of the clay liner. Since the anticipated loading due to the operation of the pad is not expected to be in excess of 80 kPa . Failure of the pad due to settlement of the natural sub-grade was not a concern.

The greater concern for the site would be the existence of buried material that may be prone to settlement. Historical data related to the final site chosen indicated that it had not been used for burial of waste associated with the municipal landfill operation. A test-pit program was carried out to that verified. this information. This information is outlined on the site plan.

# EBA Engineering Consultants Ltd. 

Creating and Dellvering Better Solutions

October 20, 2003
EBA File: 1780080

VIA FAX: (403) 253-3188 (11 Pages)
Hazco Environmental Services Ltd $200,5720-4^{\text {th }}$ Street S.E.,
Calgary, AB T2H 1 K 7

Attention: Mr. Brad Chapman, Project manager
Subject: Hay River Blopad for Soil Treatment Test Results
This letter outlines EBA Engineering Consultants Lid.'s (EBA's) testing services carried out for the Hay River soil treatment biopad located at the nuisance grounds in Hay River, NT. Authorization to proceed with the project was provided by Mr. Brad Chapman, Project Manager of Hazco Environmental Services Lid., (Hazco) to Mr. Ed Hoeve P.Eng., of EBA on July 28, 2003; P.O. No.: 11-1560.

EBA's scope of work was outlined in Sections 3.3 and 3.4 of the specifications supplied by Hazco titled Biopad for Soil Treatment, 2003 Earthworks Construction, Hay River N.W.T., Detailed Specifications. The scope of work included field and laboratory testing.

Density testing was initially conducted on July 31, 2003. At that time both the first and second lifts were tested, by varying the nuclear densometer probe depth berween 0 mm (backscatter) and 150 mm . The measured densities were significancly higher than the reference density (Proctor value) that was provided to EBA. Therefore a sample was collected and a Standard Proctor test was completed in EBA's Yellowknife laboratory, the result is attached. The measured densities were compared to the new Proctor value. A total of 22 tests gave levels of compaction ranging from 87 to 102, with an average of 96 percent of Standard Proctor maximum dry density (SPD). The specified level of compaction was $92 \%$ of SPD (Section 3.2.3). Two of the measurements fell below this requirement.

Final density testing was conducted on September 8, 2003. At this time 32 tests of the top lift were taken giving results ranging from 97 to 100 and averaging 99 percent of SPD. The two areas that previously indicated insufficient compaction were retested and found to conform to the specified requirements.

During the site visits construction methods and progress were noted including the following:

- Clay material used for liner appeared clean, and free of deleterious materials;
anymoltritas
P.O. Bax 2244, \#201, 4916-49 Street, Yellowknife, NWT X1A 2P7

Tet: (867) 920-2287 - Fax: (867) 873-3324 Internet: yellowknife@ eba.ca - Web Site: www.aba.ca

- Construction activities and procedures appeared consistent with industry accepted practices;
- Compaction methods used met industry accepted practices and were followed; and
- Lift thicknesses were investigated and were consistent with specification.

Work that was outside of EBA's scope of work and was not done included:

- Subgrade inspection;
- Subgrade, and final CCL surveys; and
- Pre-construction test data and determinations.

Laboratory testing included a constant head permeability test, eight moisture contents (oven dry method) and Atterberg Limits. A Shelby tube was used to collect the material for the permeability test. The in-place permeability of the clay pad as determined by the constant head permeability test was $9.59 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{sec}$, less than the maximum $1 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{sec}$ required in Section 1.1.3 of the specifications. The Atterberg Limirs of $\mathrm{LL}=49 \%, \mathrm{PL}=21 \%$, and $\mathrm{PI}=28 \%$ correspond to the medium to high plasticity required in Section 2.1.1 of the specifications.

Based on our observations and subsequent review, EBA considers that the Compacted Clay Liner was installed in general accordance with acceptable construction practices and the intent of the design.

We trust that this letter and attached results will meet your requirements. Please contact either of the undersigned if you have any questions or comments.

Respectfully submitted,

## EBA ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS LTD.

Prepared by:


Robert S. Girvan, M.I.T.
Geotechnical Engineer
(Direct Line: (867) 766-3728 ext. 108)
(e-mail: rgirvan Geba.ca)

Reviewed by:
T.E. Hoeve, P. Eng. Chief Engineer NT/NU
(Direct Line: (8671766-3728 ext.114)
( (-mail: ehocveGebaca)

Attachments
tenamualo 1009

## EBA Engineering Consultants Ltd.

MOISTURE-DENSITY RELATIONSHIP


## EBA Engineering Consultants Ltd.

## CONSTANT HEAD PERMEABILITY

TREATMENT PAD QUALITY ASSUAANCE
Job Number: 1780080
Date: 03-09-16
Sample No.: 3317 Test No. 1 - North West Corn Test No:
P-1

| Time | Buret (cc) | Elap. (min) | Outillow (cc) | Diameter= | 70.74 | mm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7:25 | 17.0 | 0 | 0.0 | Height= | 25.25 | mm |
| 8:15 | 17.2 | 50 | 0.2 |  |  |  |
| 9:09 | 17.3 | 104 | 0.3 | Volume= | 99.24 | $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ |
| 9:52 | 17.4 | 147 | 0.4 |  |  |  |
| 10:41 | 17.5 | 196 | 0.5 | Head Diff.m | 2 | psi |
| 11:33 | 17.6 | 248 | 0.6 |  |  |  |
| 12:35 | 17.7 | 310 | 0.7 | O= | 2.10E-05 | $\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ |
| 13:38 | 17.8 | 373 | 0.8 | $1=$ | 55.71 |  |
| 14:50 | 17.9 | 445 | 0.9 | $A=$ | 39.30 | $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$ |
| 16:05 | 18.0 | 520 | 1.0 |  |  |  |
| 7:20 | 19.2 | 1435 | 2.2 | $K=$ | 9.59E-09 | $\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{sec}$ |


| 8:39 | 19.3 | 1514 | 2.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $9: 58$ | 19.4 | 1593 | 2.4 |
| $11: 18$ | 19.5 | 1673 | 2.5 |



## EBA Engineering Consultants Ltd.

| DENSITY TEST RESULTS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proiect No.: 1780080 |  | Test Apparatus: Soll Desoription: | Nucoear | Mach. No.: | 24554 |
| Project: Hay Fiver Blopad |  |  | clay, trace silt |  |  |
| Qumily Assurance Testing Sorvices |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Temperature Speoified Compact Compaction Stand | Ari. $\quad 17^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | n/a ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Cirert: Hazzo Emvironmental Services Lid. |  |  | on $\quad 92 \%$ |  |  |
|  |  | Sdars: Stan | ndard |  |
| Atteritort Mr. Brad Chapman |  |  | Minimum Dry Den Maximum Dry Den |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Optimum M.C.: | 19.0\% |  |  |
|  |  | Date Testad | Juy 31, 2003 By |  | By. RG |
| Test No. 1 Probe Depth | Location | Elevation | \% Moisture | Dry Dersity |  |
| $1 / 150 \mathrm{~mm}$ | SW Cormer | AI Grade | 19.8 | 1582 | 95\% |
| 2150 mm | NW Comer | At Grada | 14.8 | 1624 | 98\% |
| $3 / 150 \mathrm{~mm}$ | North sde, mildpoint | At Orade | 15.5 | 1630 | 102\% |
| 41150 mm | Centre of pad | At Grade | 18.6 | 1598 | 96\% |
| 51150 mm | South Side, mildo ${ }^{\text {a }}$, | At Grade | 18.1 | 1604 | 97\% |
| 6/150 mm | SE commer | At Grade | 15.3 | 1698 | 102\% |
| 71150 mm | SE comer of pond | At Grade | 15.4 | 1688 | 102\% |
| $8 / 150 \mathrm{~mm}$ | West side of pond | At Grade | 16.4 | 1552 | 53\% |
| 9/150 mm | North side of pond | At Grade | 20.6 | 1585 | 94\% |
| $10 / 15 \mathrm{mmm}$ | Fast side cantre | At Grado | 20.8 | 1615 | 97\%, |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Remarks.Clay liner was approximately 35 cm above native soil at time of testing. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\square$$\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  <br>  specification compliance ox material suitabitity. Should engineering interpretation be required, EBA will provide it upon written request. |  |  |  |  |  |

## EBA Engineering Consultants Ltd,



## EBA Engineering Consultants Ltd.



## EBA Engineering Consultants Ltd,

Project No.: 1780080
Prolecr: Hay River Blo-Pad

Quality Assurance Testing Servicas

Client: Hazco Environmental Sarvices Lid.

Attention: Mr. Brad Chapman
$\qquad$


| Test No. 1 Probe Depth | Location | Elevation | Wet Density, $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ms}$ | Dry Density $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ms}$ | Compaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $29 / 200 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 0+080E, $0+015 \mathrm{~N}$ | At Grade | 1981 | 1643 | 99\% |
| 29/100 11111 | 0+000[, $6+045 \mathrm{NJ}$ | At Grade | 1945 | 1413 | 97\% |
| $50 / 200 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 0+060E, $0+015 \mathrm{~N}$ | At Grade | 1084 | 1620 | 98\% |
| $30 / 100 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $0+065 \mathrm{E}, 0+015 \mathrm{~N}$ | Al Grade | 1976 | 1038 | 93\% |
| $31 / 200 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 0+040E, 0+015N | At Grada | 1980 | 1642 | 99\% |
| $31 / 100 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 0+040E, $0+015 \mathrm{~N}$ | At Grade | 1894 | 1663 | 100\% |
| $32 / 200 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 0+025E, $0+015 \mathrm{~N}$ | At Grade | 1982 | 1652 | 100\% |
| $32 / 100 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 0+025E, $0+015 \mathrm{~N}$ | At Grade | 1982 | 1643 | 99\% |
| $33 / 200 \mathrm{~mm}$ | O+010E, $0+016 \mathrm{~N}$ | At Grade | 1999 | 1858 | 100\% |
| 3\%100 11111 | $0+010 L_{1} 0+015 \mathrm{~N}$ | At Erado | 1949 | 1616 | 97\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Remarks

7 surface samples of soil wers taken for moisture content test. Max moisture content is 22.4\% and Min 18.3\%. The average moisture curitull is $20.0 \%$. This number can be considered ao roprocontative for detormination of tha tiry density.
Test at the depth 200 mm shoudd be considered as the test for the lift \#3
Test at the depth 100 mm should be considered as the test for the fift 4
Feviewed By: P.Eng.
0 File
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Then mating sorviooc foported heroin have beon perionmart hy an FAA rechnician to rocognized industry standarde, unlass othervise noted. No other warranly is made. These data do not molude or represent any interpratalion or opinion of twe epecification compliance or material auitability. Should anginearing interpratation be required, EEA will provide it upon written request.

## EBA Engineering Consultants Ltd.

DENSITY TEST RESULTS
ASTM Daslgnation D2922 \& D3017, or D1556

Project No.: 1780080
Project: Hay River Blo-Pad

| Quality Assurance Testing Services |
| :---: |
| Client: Hazco Environmental Services Ltd. |
| Attentinn: Mr Rrad Chanman |

Test Apparatus: Nuolasi __Mash No.: 24554 Soil Description: clay, traces silt


| Test No. 1 Probe Deoth | Loomion | Elauation | Wet Density, kgmis | Dry Density kgim3 | $\%$ Cullmaulin! |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $34 / 200 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 0,006E, $0+025 \mathrm{~N}$ | At Grade | 1963 | 1627 | 98\% |
| $34 / 100 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 0+005E, $0+025 \mathrm{~N}$ | At Grade | 1968 | 1632 | 98\% |
| $35 / 200 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 0+020E, $0+025 \mathrm{~N}$ | At Grade | 1976 | 1638 | 99\% |
| 33/100 11111 |  | At Grado | 9954 | 1200 | 98\% |
| $36 / 200 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 0+035E, $0+025 \mathrm{~N}$ | At Grade | 1984 | 1629 | 98\% |
| josiluú mm | OTOSEE, O+CREAN | At Crado | 1951 | 1648 | 97\% |
| 37/200 11111 | O+0502, 01025 N | At Crads | 1985 | 1629 | 98\% |
| 57/100 mm | 0+050E, $0+025 \mathrm{~N}$ | At Grade | 1980 | 1642 | 94\% |
| $38 / 200 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 0+066E, $0+025 \mathrm{~N}$ | At Grade | 1997 | 1656 | 100\% |
| $38 / 100 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 0+065E, $0+025 \mathrm{~N}$ | At Grade | 2007 | 1684 | 100\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Remarks:
7 surface samples of soll were taken for moisture content test. Max moisture content is $22.4 \%$ and Min 18.3\%.
The average moisture content is $20.6 \%$. This number oan be considered as representative for determination of the dry der sily.
Test at the depth 200 mm should be considered as the test for the lift \#3 Test at the depth 100 mm should be considered as the test for the lift \#4 Poviewed By.

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

The tasting services reported herein have been performed by an EBA tachnician io recognized incustry standarcts, unlese otherwise noted. No other warranty is mate. Thesa data do not inctuda or represent any mierpretation or opinion of the speaffeation complance or matarlal suitability, Should enginoering intorpretation be raquited, EBA will provide it upon
written request.

## EBA Engineering Consultants Ltd.

## MOISTURE; CONTENT TEST RESULTS



| Test No. | TP No. | Depth (m) | Wet+Tare | Dry+Tare | Tare | \% Moisture Content |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3425-1 |  |  | 685,0 | 575.2 | 17.7 | 19.7 |
| 3425-2 |  |  | 1096.3 | 911.1 | 19.3 | 20.8 |
| 3425-3 |  |  | - 861.5 | 731.2 | 20.4 | 18.3 |
| 3425-4 |  |  | 857.4 | 709.0 | 19.1 | 21.5 |
| 3425-5 |  |  | 971.6 | 805.3 | 17.7 | 21.1 |
| 3425-6 |  |  | 1098.7 | 873.0 | 19.9 | 26.5 |
| 3425-7 |  |  | 936.2 | 768.0 | 18.1 | 22.4 |
| 9425-9 |  |  | 6787 | 586.9 | 18.1 | 20.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\cdot$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




other party, with or whout the knowiecte of EEA.

 ! autabinty. Syould enginsorthe intergretation be required, EBA will provele It upon writen raquast

## EBA Engineering Consultants Ltd.

| Project No:: $\frac{1 / \text { suusu }}{\text { Project: Hay Fiver Bio-Pad }} \frac{\text { Qually Assurance Testing Services }}{}$ |
| :--- |
| Cllent: Hazco Environmental Senvices Lid. |



| Test No. 1 Probe Depth | Location | Elevation | Wet Density, kg/ns | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dry Density } \\ \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m} 3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Compaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $38 / 300 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 0+075E, $0+010 \mathrm{~N}$ | At Grade | 2012 | 1591 | 96\% |
| $40 / 200 \mathrm{~mm}$ | n+0¢nf. $n+n 10 \times$ | At Grads | 2001 | 1582 | 95\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Remarks
\# 39 - retest for test \# 14/BS, 25 m south of pond
\#40 - retest for test \#21/BS, SW portion of lot, 10 m east of edge
Moisture rantent for both tests was $26.5 \%$

otherwisa noted. No other warranty is made. These cata do nol inchude or represent any interptotation or coplenion of the spedfication compllances or material suitability. Should onginaering intorpratalion bo requirad, EBA will provide it upon
writen request

